



Norwegian Ministry
of Education and Research

Feedback Summary – 3rd TPG C on QA meeting October 8-9, in Brasov, Romania.

Petrișor L. ȚUCĂ, member of EHEA Secretariat



Norwegian Ministry
of Education and Research

Following the 3rd meeting of the TPG C on QA in the 2024-2027 cycle, hosted by ARACIS (Romania) in Brasov, on 8-9 October 2025, a feedback form was distributed to participants to collect information on the organization and content of the event.


A total of 23 respondents (approximately 58% of participants) provided their feedback, providing valuable input for future improvements.

1. Information provided

1.1 Participant satisfaction

3. How do you rate the communication of organisational information before the meeting?

23 responses



- Information was sufficiently detailed and transmitted early enough
- Information was not sufficiently detailed but transmitted early enough
- Information was sufficiently detailed but not transmitted early enough
- Information was not sufficiently detailed nor transmitted early enough



Norwegian Ministry
of Education and Research

1. Information provided

1.2. Main observation


- Communication with the hotel reception hosting the conference was poor.

2. Communication of information

2.1. Participant satisfaction

5. How do you rate the communication of information about the agenda and background documents before the meeting?

23 responses



- Information was sufficiently detailed and transmitted early enough
- Information was not sufficiently detailed but transmitted early enough
- Information was sufficiently detailed but not transmitted early enough
- Information was not sufficiently detailed nor transmitted early enough



Norwegian Ministry
of Education and Research

2. Communication of information

2.2. Main observation


- I wish we could have the ppt a bit earlier
- The program was sent quite late and the online option was sent at the last minute

3. EHEA Secretariat support

3.1. Participant satisfaction

7. If the case, how satisfied were you with the support provided by the EHEA Secretariat, before the meeting?

23 responses




4. Meeting venue and its facilities

4.1. Participant satisfaction

9. How do you rate the meeting venue and its facilities?

23 responses




5. The meal offered

5.1. Participant satisfaction

11. How do you rate the meal(s) offered at the meeting?

23 responses




6. Coffee breaks

6.1. Participant satisfaction

13. How do you rate the coffee break(s) offered at the meeting?

23 responses




7. Social

7.1. Participant satisfaction

15.2 How do you rate the social activities organised during the meeting?

23 responses




8. Agenda

8.1. Participant satisfaction

17. How satisfied were you with the agenda of the meeting?

23 responses





Norwegian Ministry
of Education and Research

8. Agenda

8.2. Main observation


- During the first round, where members presented their Action Plans, time management could have been more strictly enforced.

9. The usefulness of the sessions

9.1. Participant satisfaction

20. How do you rate the sessions in terms of usefulness?

23 responses




10. Session efficiency

10.1. Participant satisfaction

21. How do you rate the sessions in terms of effectiveness?

23 responses




10.1 Session efficiency

10.1.1. Participant satisfaction

19. How do you rate the sessions on AI (made by Ștefan Popenici)?

23 responses





Norwegian Ministry
of Education and Research

11. Most valuable aspects

- Breakout sessions (4) and update on ESG revision (3)
- Session about plans ahead and reports from ENQA and EQAR
- CBQA and Peer learning
- Good session on AI



Norwegian Ministry
of Education and Research

12. The least valuable aspects

- Bit too much presentations;
- Thematic session on AI.



Norwegian Ministry
of Education and Research

13. General remarks

- The presentation of the Action Plans felt rather lengthy, especially as the detailed information can already be found in the overall Action Plan catalogue. For future meetings, it might be more effective to focus the presentations on one or two concrete examples – highlighting either what has been achieved or what is currently in progress;
- Maybe more time (more sessions) dedicated to the exchange of experiences/practices of the different countries could be useful;
- More in-depth discussion of “online” in higher education is needed.



EHEA
Secretariat



Norwegian Ministry
of Education and Research

Thank you!