



EHEA: Transforming
Education Together



Feedback report on the 3rd WG on FV meeting

Oana Alexandra Țînțar

*4th WG FV meeting
Bucharest, Romania
25th February 2026*



Co-funded by the
European Union

Following the **3rd WG on FV meeting and associated PLA**, hosted by **UEFISCDI** in hybrid format (**Bucharest, Romania**), on the **19th-21st** of November 2025, a feedback form was distributed to participants to gather insights on the event's organization and content.

A total of **13 respondents** (out of 23 participants - **56.2%**) provided their feedback.

- 9 respondents who participated in-person (both at the PLA and WG meeting)
- 2 respondents who participated in-person (only at the WG meeting)
- 2 respondents who participated online




Feedback form results

1. Communication of organisational information

How do you rate the communication of organisational information before the meeting?

13 responses



- Information was sufficiently detailed and transmitted early enough
- Information was not sufficiently detailed but transmitted early enough
- Information was sufficiently detailed but not transmitted early enough
- Information was neither sufficiently detailed nor transmitted early enough




Feedback form results

2. Communication of information about the agenda

How do you rate the communication of information about the agenda before the meeting?

13 responses




- Information was sufficiently detailed and transmitted early enough
- Information was not sufficiently detailed but transmitted early enough
- Information was sufficiently detailed but not transmitted early enough
- Information was neither sufficiently detailed nor transmitted early enough

Feedback form results

3. Support provided by the EHEA Secretariat, before and during the meeting


If the case, how satisfied were you with the support provided by the EHEA Secretariat, before and during the meeting?

13 responses



Feedback form results

4. Satisfaction with the agenda of the meeting – 4.69 / 5




Feedback form results

5. Session "Updates from the WG on Monitoring the Implementation of the Bologna Process and discussion on the BPIR 2027 FV chapter" – 4.69 / 5

How would you rate the session "Updates from the WG on Monitoring the Implementation of the Bologna Process and discussion on the BPIR 2027 Fundamental Values chapter"?

13 responses




Feedback form results

6. Session "Updated Technical Implementation Methodology for the Monitoring Framework of Fundamental Values. Updated detailed timeline of the NewFAV2 project" – 4.69 / 5


How would you rate the session "Updated Technical Implementation Methodology for the Monitoring Framework of Fundamental Values. Updated detailed timeline of the NewFAV2 project"?

13 responses




Feedback form results

7. Presentation and discussion "National Operators - selection and training process" – 4.76 / 5




Feedback form results

8. Presentation of the communication strategy – 4.53 / 5




Feedback form results

9. Presentation of the data collection platform for the monitoring of FV – 4.69 / 5




Feedback form results

10. Discussion on the promotional activities and materials – 4.69 / 5



Feedback form results

T1. Meeting venue and its facilities – 4.76 / 5




Feedback form results

12. Coffee breaks and lunches offered at the meeting – 4.66 / 5


How do you rate the coffee breaks and lunches offered at the meeting? (only for in person participants)

12 responses




Feedback form results

13. The dinner organised on 19th of November (only for participants who attended the dinner) – 4.9 / 5




Feedback form results

14. The dinner organised on 20th of November (only for participants who attended the dinner) – 5 / 5




Feedback form results

15. Overall experience of the WG on FV meeting – 9.69 / 10



Feedback form results

16. Satisfaction with the agenda of the PLA – 4.9 / 5




Feedback form results

17. Presentation "Academic Freedom and Integrity in the EHEA, from concepts to practice" (Sjur Bergan & Cezar Hâj) – 4.5 / 5

How would you rate the presentation "Academic Freedom and Integrity in the EHEA, from concepts to practice" (Sjur Bergan) in terms of usefulness?

10 responses




Feedback form results

18. Presentation „Academic Integrity - Supporting Cultures of Academic Integrity: The role of quality assurance agencies in promoting and enhancing academic integrity and ensuring learning” (Anna Gover) – 4.72 / 5

How would you rate the presentation „Academic Integrity - Supporting Cultures of Academic Integrity: The role of quality assurance agencies in...ring learning” (Anna Gover) in terms of usefulness?

11 responses




Feedback form results

19. Presentation "Institutional Integrity: From Students to Governors and Beyond" (Irene Glendinning) – 4.54 / 5

How would you rate the presentation "Institutional Integrity: From Students to Governors and Beyond" (Irene Glendinning) in terms of usefulness?

11 responses




Feedback form results

20. Presentation "Academic Freedom in the Nordics" (Karin Amossa)- 4.36/5

How would you rate the presentation "Academic Freedom in the Nordics" (Karin Amossa) in terms of usefulness?

11 responses




Feedback form results

21. Presentation "Monitoring academic freedom and integrity. Together?" (Liviu Matei) - 4.54 / 5

How would you rate the presentation "Monitoring academic freedom and integrity. Together?" (Liviu Matei) in terms of usefulness?

11 responses




Feedback form results

22. Presentation "Academic Integrity and Artificial Intelligence: What does the evidence show?" (Phil Newton) – 4.63 / 5


How would you rate the presentation "Academic Integrity and Artificial Intelligence: What does the evidence show?" (Phil Newton) in terms of usefulness?

11 responses



Feedback form results

23. Overall experience of the PLA – 9.9 / 10



Feedback form results

24. General remarks

- The agenda of the WG meeting could have been slightly more efficient.
- The earlier we can consult and set the dates for the upcoming meeting, the better.



EHEA: Transforming
Education Together



Feedback report on the 3rd WG on FV meeting

Oana Alexandra Țînțar

*4th WG FV meeting
Bucharest, Romania
25th February 2026*



Co-funded by the
European Union