

The fundamental values of higher education in the European Higher Education Area:

The Monitoring Tool

Revised November 2025, in view of joint data collection with Eurydice

Table of contents

1.	Introduction.....	2
2.	The Questionnaire: questions for each fundamental value and technical instructions for answering; coding instructions; and explanation of how questions were generated	4
2.1.	Academic freedom.....	4
	Protection	4
	Protection outlook	5
	Promotion	6
	Promotion outlook	7
	<i>De facto</i>	8
2.2.	Institutional autonomy.....	8
	Protection	8
	Protection outlook	10
	Promotion	10
	Promotion outlook	11
	<i>De facto</i>	12
2.3	Student and staff participation in governance of higher education	12
	Protection	12
	Protection outlook	17
	Promotion	17
	Promotion outlook	19
	<i>De facto</i>	20
2.4	Academic integrity	20
	Protection	20
	Protection outlook	22
	Promotion	22
	Promotion outlook	23
	<i>De facto</i>	24
2.5	Public responsibility for higher education.....	25
	Protection	25
	Protection outlook	26
	Promotion	28
	Promotion outlook	29
	<i>De facto</i>	31
2.6	Public responsibility of higher education.....	31
	Protection	31
	Protection outlook	33
	Promotion	34
	Promotion outlook	36
	<i>De facto</i>	37

1. Introduction

This document details the tool developed for the purpose of monitoring the fundamental values of higher education in the EHEA as part of the NewFAV2 project. As of November 2025, it is envisaged that data collection will be undertaken jointly with including Eurydice, the organisation preparing the periodic Bologna Monitoring Reports.

The documents includes:

- The exact questions for all categories of respondents and the response sheets. **Questions for which Eurydice will collect data are highlighted in this document.** These questions are based on the original NewFAV questionnaire but have been revised in agreement with Eurydice.
- Technical instructions for respondents and the questionnaire operators (National Operators -NOs) regarding how to answer the questions (these are not indications about the contents of the answers).
- Explanations regarding how questions are generated for each fundamental value, based on the respective EHEA statement and the monitoring framework (the indicators for monitoring the fundamental values of higher education)
- Instructions for coding the answers for all questions.

The final monitoring report will use all data collected, including by Eurydice.

The questionnaires for all categories of respondents start with an introductory text (Box 1, below).

The technical instructions for how to answer the questions are customized to each group of respondents and included in the respective questionnaire. The answers will be collected by Eurydice and by the operators, respectively, in each system using an online survey tool. Euridice will use their part of the data for the Bologna Monitoring report. A central NewFAV2 project team will bring all responses/data together using this online survey tool, process the data and prepare the report.

Each group of respondents will receive the EHEA statements for each fundamental value as separate files, for their reference.

Instructions for the survey operators in the NewFAV2 project (NOs, one per system) will be distributed separately, and operators will benefit from dedicated training (online) for their work. These instructions and training will be based on the detailed presentation of the monitoring mechanism and monitoring tool in the present report.

The present document also includes detailed coding instructions. These instructions will not be shown to respondents. They are presented in this Annex for the benefit of the BFUG and the organizations that will undertake the monitoring. The Eurydice questions will not be shown to the NewFAV2 respondents.

The monitoring tool presented here is ready to use, after extended consultations with Eurydice, BFUG, and the Working Group on Fundamental Values.

Box 1: Introductory message for respondents in the NewFav2 data collection

Dear Participant,

We extend our warm thanks for your participation in this survey about the fundamental values of higher education in the European Higher Education Area (EHEA). Your insights are invaluable for monitoring these values and the implementation of the commitments about them assumed by the EHEA members.

Context

This survey is part of a monitoring exercise mandated by the ministers responsible for higher education from all EHEA countries. The ministers requested to develop a framework for enhancing the protection and promotion of fundamental values of higher education, including by systematic assessment and monitoring, which in turn will inform the exchange of information and mutual learning practices.

The EHEA members have officially agreed on a common list of six fundamental values.

- academic freedom
- academic integrity

- institutional autonomy
- student and staff participation in governance
- public responsibility for higher education
- public responsibility of higher education.

Between 2020 and 2024, the EHEA members also adopted official statements with shared definitions for each of these values. These common, EHEA-wide definitions of the fundamental values are distributed together with the present questionnaire, for your reference.

As stated in the Paris (2018), Rome (2020) and Tirana (2024) EHEA ministerial communiqués, the ministers made explicit voluntary commitments to protect (through legal means) and promote (through specific policies, funding and other non-legal mechanisms, means and initiatives) in their respective higher education systems these values as jointly defined.

The Bologna Follow Up Group (BFUG - the main governance body in the EHEA between the ministerial conferences) was tasked by the ministers with overseeing the development of a mechanism to assess the respect of these values and the implementation of the commitments about them. This task was fulfilled between 2022 and 2024 by an independent research team through extensive consultations with all categories of higher education stakeholders in Europe, under the supervision of a dedicated pan-European inter-ministerial Working Group on the Fundamental Values of Higher Education appointed by and reporting to the BFUG. The main monitoring tool is the present questionnaire.

Overall instructions

For public authorities

To streamline the process and minimize your time commitment, the questionnaire has been pre-filled by a national questionnaire operator selected by the project team in consultation with the BFUG. We kindly ask you to review all entries in the questionnaire on behalf of the public authorities in your system and correct and complete whenever necessary. Your input is essential for drawing an accurate picture with regard to the protection and promotion of the fundamental values of higher education in the EHEA. If you prefer to fill out a blank questionnaire without pre-filled answers, you have the option to do so.

Additionally, we will be reaching out to 4 representatives of stakeholders in your system (academic staff, student union, trade union, and university administrators) to fill out the same questionnaire separately.

For stakeholders

Thank you for agreeing to fill out the questionnaire on behalf of an important category of stakeholders in your system: _____ [specify which one]. There will be 3 representatives of other categories of stakeholders and a representative of the public authorities from your system who will fill out the same questionnaire, each of them separately.

To streamline the process and minimize your time commitment, the questionnaire has been pre-filled by a national operator selected by the project team in consultation with the BFUG. We kindly ask you to review all entries in the questionnaire and correct and complete whenever necessary. Your input is essential for drawing an accurate picture with regard to the respect for the fundamental values of higher education in the EHEA. If you prefer to fill out a blank questionnaire without pre-filled answers, you have the option to do so.

For open-platform respondents

Thank you for volunteering to fill out this questionnaire about *de facto* situation with regard to protection and promotion of the fundamental values of higher education in your higher education system.

There will be 4 representatives of the main categories of higher education stakeholders and a representative of the public authorities from your system who, each of them separately, will fill out a broader questionnaire, including legal and regulatory aspects (*de jure*).

2. The Questionnaire: questions for each fundamental value and technical instructions for answering; coding instructions; and explanation of how questions were generated

2.1. Academic freedom

Protection

Questions in this block are for public authorities and higher education stakeholders respondents.

Protection -colour coding	Explanation
Full	<p>1. The concept of ‘academic freedom’ is specifically mentioned in legislation or is protected through legislative or judicial decisions AND</p> <p>2. The concept is specified in legislation in line with the respective EHEA statement, including ALL dimensions of academic freedom:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - freedom to research - freedom to teach - freedom to learn - freedom to disseminate knowledge (intramurally and extramurally)
Adequate	<p>The concept of ‘academic freedom’ is specifically mentioned in legislation or is protected through legislative or judicial decisions AND</p> <p>2. The concept is defined/specified in legislation in line with the EHEA definition but includes only 3 out of the 4 dimensions of academic freedom:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - freedom to research - freedom to teach - freedom to learn - freedom to disseminate knowledge (intramurally and extramurally)
Intermediary	<p>1. The concept of ‘academic freedom’ is specifically mentioned in legislation or is protected through legislative or judicial decisions AND</p> <p>2. The concept is defined/specified in legislation in line with the EHEA definition but includes only 2 out of the 4 dimensions of academic freedom:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - freedom to research - freedom to teach - freedom to learn - freedom to disseminate knowledge (intramurally and extramurally)
Inadequate	<p>1. The concept of ‘academic freedom’ is specifically mentioned in legislation or protected through legislative or judicial decisions) AND</p> <p>2. The concept is defined/specified in legislation in line with the EHEA definition but includes only 1 out of the 4 dimensions of academic freedom:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - freedom to research - freedom to teach - freedom to learn - freedom to disseminate knowledge (intramurally and extramurally)
Absent	<p>The concept of ‘academic freedom’ is not specifically mentioned in any type of legislation or judicial decisions, OR the definition in legislation does not align with any of the 4 dimensions specified in the EHEA definition.</p>

Questions	Instructions for coding
<p>Q1.1. Is the concept of academic freedom specifically mentioned in the legislation? Please tick all that apply.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Yes, in constitution</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Yes, in law (for e.g. education / higher education law)</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Yes, in judicial decisions or customary practice</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> No</p>	<p>Q1.1-2. If the answer is ‘Yes’ to any of three options (constitution, law, judicial decisions) AND the source is provided, the concept of academic freedom is considered explicitly mentioned.</p> <p>If the answer is ‘No’, <i>de jure</i> protection of academic freedom is seen as ‘absent’ (red colour).</p>

<p>Q1.2. If yes, please indicate the definitions and references/links to the sources for these definitions.</p> <p>REFORMULTAED (AS PRESNTED HERE) AND MOVED TO THE EURYDICE QUESTIONNAIRE</p>	<p>If the answer is ‘Yes’ to any of the three options, but no source is provided or identified by the operator, the answer is considered incomplete. It will be the responsibility of the operator to check and complete the answer after receiving answers from all categories of respondents. The operator will also assess if system-level legal provisions are in line with the EHEA definition, comparing the legal sources motioned in the answers and the EHEA statement with the definition.</p>
<p>Q1.3. [shown to those who selected ‘Yes’ for Q1.1] If the concept of academic freedom mentioned is defined in different legal instruments in the respective system, are these definitions consistent?</p> <p><input type="radio"/> Yes, they are fully or mostly consistent. <input type="radio"/> No, there is a contradiction between constitution and laws.</p> <p>Q1.4. [shown to those who selected ‘No’ for Q1.3] If there are contradictions or inconsistencies, please explain.</p> <p>Q1.3. and Q1.4. TO BE REMOVED COMPLETELY</p>	<p>Q1.3. If the answer is ‘Yes’, proceed to Q1.5. If the answer is ‘No’, an explanation is to be provided narratively in Q1.4. If the answer is ‘No’ and no explanation is provided in Q1.4, the answer is considered incomplete. It will be the responsibility of the operator to clarify and complete the information while taking note of the type of answer received from public authorities.</p>
<p>Q1.5. Is academic freedom defined in legislation and if yes what dimensions does it cover?</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Yes, freedom to research <input type="checkbox"/> Yes, freedom to teach <input type="checkbox"/> Yes, freedom to learn <input type="checkbox"/> Yes, freedom of knowledge dissemination (Intramural) <input type="checkbox"/> Yes, freedom of knowledge dissemination (Extramural) <input type="checkbox"/> None of the above <input type="checkbox"/> Other (please specify)</p> <p>Q1.5.1 If yes, please indicate the definitions and references/links to the sources REFORMULTAED (AS PRESNTED HERE) AND MOVED TO THE EURYDICE QUESTIONNAIRE</p> <p>To what groups do these protections apply?</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Tenured staff (permanent contract) <input type="checkbox"/> Non-tenured staff (fixed term contract) <input type="checkbox"/> Students <input type="checkbox"/> Unspecified in the legislation</p>	<p>Q1.5. For ‘full protection’, all 5 dimensions are covered. For ‘adequate protection’- 3 dimensions. For ‘intermediary protection’- 2 dimensions. For ‘inadequate protection’ – 1 dimension. If the answer is ‘None of the above’, <i>de jure</i> protection of academic freedom is seen as ‘absent’.</p> <p>Q1.6. is supplementary to Q1.5. If not all 3 groups (tenured, non-tenured staff and students) are selected OR ‘Unspecified in the legislation’ is selected, ‘full protection’ from Q5 should be demoted to ‘adequate’.</p>

Protection outlook

This block of questions is only for the higher education stakeholders respondents.

Protection outlook: colour coding	Explanation
Positive	<p>There are documented plans to increase protection of academic freedom:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - in upcoming legislation (generically) - in upcoming judicial decisions - by defining the concept of academic freedom better in upcoming legislation
Unchanged	<p>There are no documented plans to increase OR decrease protection of academic freedom.</p>
Negative	<p>There are documented plans to diminish existing protection of academic freedom:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - in upcoming legislation - in upcoming judicial decisions

Questions	Instructions for coding
<p>Q1.7. Are there plans currently to change the legal protection of academic freedom (e.g. in upcoming legislation or judicial decisions)?</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Yes, increase legal protection</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Yes, decrease legal protection</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> No, neither increase nor decrease legal protection</p> <p>Q1.8. [shown to those who selected 'Yes' for Q1.7] If yes, please explain what upcoming legislation/judicial decisions are planned. As much as possible, provide sources (e.g. parliamentary debates, news, draft legislation, etc.) and a short list of all known examples.</p>	<p>Q1.7-8. If 'Yes, increase legal protection' is selected, protection outlook is 'positive'.</p> <p>If 'Yes, decrease legal protection' is selected, protection outlook is 'negative'.</p> <p>If 'No, neither increase nor decrease', protection outlook is 'unchanged'.</p> <p>If the answer to Q1.7 is 'Yes', but no sources or examples are provided or identified by the operator in Q1.8, the indicator is considered incomplete, the category and colour-code are not assigned to the indicator 'protection outlook'. It will be the duty of the operator to check and finalize, if possible, while noting the initial responses of the stakeholders.</p>

Promotion

Questions in this block are for public authorities and higher education stakeholders respondents.

Promotion – colour coding	Explanation
Very significant	System-level authorities have developed: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Guidelines to support the exercise of academic freedom AND Mechanisms (initiatives/policies/funding) to support the exercise of academic freedom AND Requirements for an external body (<i>i.e.</i>, from outside the HEIs) to evaluate how academic freedom is ensured in HEIs.
Significant	System-level authorities have developed 2 out of the 3 sets of initiatives listed immediately above.
Intermediary	System-level authorities have developed 1 out of the 3 sets of initiatives listed above.
Absent	System-level authorities have NOT developed any guidelines or mechanisms (initiatives/policies/ funding) to support the exercise of academic freedom AND there are NO requirements for an external body to evaluate how the exercise of academic freedom is ensured in HEIs.
Negative	System-level authorities have developed (non-legal) guidelines/mechanisms that weaken the protection of academic freedom.

Questions	Instructions for coding
<p>Q1.9. Are there non-legal system-level guidelines to support the exercise of academic freedom?</p> <p><input type="radio"/> Yes</p> <p><input type="radio"/> No</p> <p>Q1.10 [shown to those who selected 'Yes' for Q1.9] If yes, please indicate the sources and list briefly all known examples.</p>	<p>Q1.9-10. If the answer is 'Yes' AND sources are provided, system-level guidelines count towards the promotion score (yellow to dark green).</p> <p>If the answer is 'Yes', but no source is provided or identified by the operator, the dimension is considered incomplete, and no colour is assigned (this box remains blank).</p>
<p>Q1.11. Are there non-legal system-level mechanisms and policies available to support the exercise of academic freedom? Please tick all that apply.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Yes, specific initiatives</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Yes, policies</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Yes, dedicated funding</p>	<p>Q1.11-12. If the answer is 'Yes' AND sources are provided, system-level mechanisms count towards the promotion score.</p> <p>If the answer is 'Yes', but no source or explanation is provided or identified by the operator, the dimension is considered incomplete.</p>

<input type="checkbox"/> No Q1.12. [shown to those who selected 'Yes' for Q1.11] If yes, please list specific initiatives/policies/funding that are available. As much as possible, provide sources (e.g. parliamentary or government documents, news reports, etc.)	
Q1.13. Are there requirements for an external body to evaluate how academic freedom is ensured in HEIs? <input type="radio"/> Yes <input type="radio"/> No Q1.14. [shown to those who selected 'Yes' for Q1.13] If yes, please indicate briefly the body and specify the requirements.	Q1.13-14. If the answer is 'Yes' AND the source is provided, requirements for external body count towards the promotion score. If the answer is 'Yes', but no source or explanation is provided or identified by the operator, the dimension is considered incomplete.

Promotion outlook

This block of questions is for stakeholders respondents.

Protection outlook -colour coding	Explanation
Positive	There are documented plans to promote academic freedom by: 1. Developing new guidelines to support the exercise of academic freedom OR 2. Developing new mechanisms (initiatives/policies/regulations) to support the exercise of academic freedom OR 3. Requiring an external body to evaluate how the exercise of academic freedom is ensured in HEIs.
Unchanged	There are no plans to increase OR decrease promotion of academic freedom.
Negative	There are plans to diminish existing promotion of academic freedom.

Questions	Instructions for coding
Q1.15. Are there plans to change the system-level guidelines, mechanisms or procedures related to academic freedom? Please tick all that apply. <input type="checkbox"/> Yes, to develop new guidelines to support promotion of academic freedom <input type="checkbox"/> Yes, to develop new mechanisms (initiatives/ policies/ regulations) to support promotion of academic freedom <input type="checkbox"/> Yes, to require an external body to evaluate how the exercise of academic freedom is ensured in HEIs <input type="checkbox"/> Yes, to make changes that will result in diminishing promotion <input type="checkbox"/> No changes planned Q1.16. [shown to those who selected 'Yes' for Q1.15] If yes, please specify briefly what changes are expected.	Q1.15-16. If 'Yes, develop new guidelines' OR 'Yes, develop new mechanisms' OR 'Yes, require an external body to evaluate' is selected, protection outlook is 'positive' . If 'Yes, make changes diminishing promotion' is selected, protection outlook is 'negative' . If 'No changes planned', protection outlook is 'unchanged' . If the answer to Q1.15 is 'Yes', but no sources or examples are provided or identified by the operator in Q1.16, the indicator is considered incomplete, the category and colour-code are not assigned to the indicator 'promotion outlook' .

De facto

This block of questions is for stakeholders and open platform respondents.

Questions	Instructions for coding
<p>Q1.17. Are there positive developments at the system level that support academic freedom in practice?</p> <p><input type="radio"/> Yes</p> <p><input type="radio"/> No</p> <p>Q1.18. <i>[shown to those who selected 'Yes' for Q1.17]</i> If yes, please provide a short inventory of positive developments. Give all known examples since 2020 <i>[or another date, depending on the time of the monitoring]</i>.</p>	<p><i>De facto</i> responses are not colour-coded. They will be summarized by the operator and presented as bullet point lists of key developments, infringements, and threats.</p>
<p>Q1.19. In your system, are there infringements that limit the exercise of academic freedom in practice? Infringements of academic freedom are defined as any actions that break or violate the legal provisions for the protection of academic freedom in your system or the provisions of the EHEA statement on academic freedom (provided with this questionnaire). Infringements of academic freedom can be, or result from, actions of state or non-state actors, political or non-political organizations, from outside or inside the university (such as students, staff, university administrators or their organizations).</p> <p><input type="radio"/> Yes</p> <p><input type="radio"/> No</p> <p>Q1.20 <i>[shown to those who selected 'Yes' for Q1.19]</i> If yes, provide an inventory of infringements. Give all known examples since 2020. <i>[or another date, depending on the time of the monitoring]</i>.</p>	
<p>Q1.21. In your system, are there threats that might limit the exercise of academic freedom in practice? Threats to academic freedom are any actions that may lead to but have not yet resulted in infringements of academic freedom.</p> <p><input type="radio"/> Yes</p> <p><input type="radio"/> No</p> <p>Q1.22 <i>[shown to those who selected 'Yes' for Q1.21]</i> If yes, provide an inventory of threats. Give all known examples since 2020. <i>[or another date, depending on the time of the monitoring]</i>.</p>	

2.2 Institutional autonomy

Protection

Questions in this block are for public authorities and higher education stakeholders respondents.

Protection -colour coding	Explanation
Full	1. The concept of 'institutional autonomy' is specifically mentioned in legislation or is protected through legislative or judicial decisions AND 2. The concept is defined/specified in legislation in line with the respective EHEA statement to include ALL 4 dimensions of institutional autonomy: - Organizational autonomy - Financial autonomy - Staffing autonomy - Academic autonomy
Adequate	1. The concept of 'institutional autonomy' is specifically mentioned in legislation, or is protected through legislative or judicial decisions) AND 2. The concept is defined/specified in legislation in line with the respective EHEA statement to include 3 of 4 dimensions of institutional autonomy.
Intermediary	1. The concept of 'institutional autonomy' is specifically mentioned in legislation, or is protected through legislative or judicial decisions AND 2. The concept is defined/specified in legislation in line with the respective EHEA statement to include 2 of 4 dimensions of institutional autonomy.

Inadequate	<p>1. The concept of ‘institutional autonomy’ is specifically mentioned in legislation as a right or protected through legislative or judicial decisions AND</p> <p>2. The concept is defined/specified in legislation in line with the respective EHEA statement to include 1 of 4 dimensions of institutional autonomy.</p>
Absent	The concept of ‘institutional autonomy’ is not specifically mentioned in any type of legislation or judicial decisions OR the definition in legislation does not align with any of 4 dimensions defined in the respective EHEA statement.

Questions	Instructions for coding
<p>Q2.1. Is institutional autonomy specifically mentioned in the legislation? Please tick all that apply.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Yes, in constitution</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Yes, in law (for e.g. education / higher education law)</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Yes, in judicial decisions or customary practice</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> No</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Other (please specify)</p> <p>Q2.2. [shown to those who selected ‘Yes’ for Q2.1] If yes, please indicate the definitions and references/links to the sources for these definitions.</p> <p>Q2.3. Is institutional autonomy defined in a legal document and if yes what dimensions does it cover Please tick all that apply.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Yes, organizational autonomy</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Yes, financial autonomy</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Yes, staffing autonomy</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Yes, academic autonomy</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> No, it is not defined</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Other (please specify) If yes, please indicate the definitions and references/links to the sources for these definitions</p> <p>REFORMULATED AS PRESENTED HERE AND MOVED TO THE EURYDICE QUESTIONNAIRE</p>	<p>Q2.1-2. If the answer is ‘Yes’ to any of three options (constitution, law, judicial decisions) AND the source is provided, the concept of institutional autonomy is considered explicitly mentioned.</p> <p>If the answer is ‘No’, <i>de jure</i> protection of institutional autonomy is seen as ‘absent’.</p> <p>If the answer is ‘Yes’ to any of the three options, but no source is provided or identified by the operator, the answer is considered incomplete, no colour is assigned (remains blank).</p> <p>Q2.3. For ‘full protection’, all 4 dimensions are covered.</p> <p>For ‘adequate protection’- 3 dimensions are covered.</p> <p>For ‘intermediary protection’- 2 dimensions are covered.</p> <p>For ‘inadequate protection’ – 1 dimension is covered.</p> <p>If the answer is ‘None of the above’, <i>de jure</i> protection of institutional autonomy is considered ‘absent’.</p> <p>If the answer to Q2.3 is ‘Not defined’, the indicator is considered incomplete, no colour is assigned (remains blank).</p>
<p>Q2.4. What types of higher education institutions are entitled to institutional autonomy by virtue of the existing legislation in your system? Please, tick all that apply.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Public institutions</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Private for-profit institutions</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Private not-for-profit institutions</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Not specified</p>	

Protection outlook

This block of questions is for stakeholders respondents.

Protection outlook -colour coding	Explanation
Positive	There are plans to increase protection of institutional autonomy EITHER: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - In upcoming legislation (generically) OR - in upcoming judicial decisions OR - by defining the concept in upcoming legislation
Unchanged	There are no plans to increase OR decrease protection of institutional autonomy.
Negative	There are plans to diminish the existing protection of institutional autonomy EITHER: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - in upcoming legislation OR - in upcoming judicial decisions
Questions	Instructions for coding
<p>Q2.5. Are there plans currently to change the legal protection of institutional autonomy (e.g. in upcoming legislation or judicial decisions)?</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Yes, increase legal protection</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Yes, decrease legal protection</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> No, neither increase nor decrease legal protection</p> <p>Q2.6. [shown to those who selected 'Yes' for Q2.5] If yes, please explain what upcoming legislation/judicial decisions are planned. As much as possible, provide sources (e.g. parliamentary debates, news, draft legislation, etc.) and a short list of all known examples.</p>	<p>Q2.5-6</p> <p>If 'Yes, increase legal protection' is selected, protection outlook is 'positive'.</p> <p>If 'Yes, decrease legal protection' is selected, protection outlook is 'negative'.</p> <p>If 'No, neither increase nor decrease', protection outlook is 'unchanged'.</p> <p>If the answer to Q2.5 is 'Yes', but no sources or examples are provided or identified by the operator in Q2.6, the indicator is considered incomplete, and no colour is assigned to the indicator 'protection outlook' (remain blank).</p>

Promotion

This block of questions is for public authorities and HE stakeholders respondents.

Promotion -colour coding	Explanation
Very significant	System-level authorities have developed: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Guidelines to support the exercise of institutional autonomy AND 2. Mechanisms (initiatives/policies/funding) to support the exercise of institutional autonomy AND 3. Requirements for an external body to evaluate how the exercise of institutional autonomy is ensured in HEIs.
Significant	System-level authorities have developed 2 out of the 3 sets of initiatives listed immediately above.
Intermediary	System-level authorities have developed 1 out of the 3 sets of initiatives listed above.
Absent	System-level authorities have NOT developed any guidelines or mechanisms (initiatives/policies/funding) to support the exercise of institutional autonomy AND there are NO requirements for an external body to evaluate how the exercise of institutional autonomy is ensured in HEIs.
Negative	System-level authorities have developed guidelines/mechanisms that weaken institutional autonomy.

Questions	Instructions for coding
<p>Q2.7. Are there HE system-level guidelines to support the exercise of institutional autonomy?</p> <p><input type="radio"/> Yes <input type="radio"/> No</p> <p>Q2.8. <i>[shown to those who selected 'Yes' for Q2.7]</i> If yes, please indicate the sources and shortlist all known examples.</p>	<p>Q2.7-8. If the answer is 'Yes' AND the source is provided, system-level guidelines count towards the promotion score.</p> <p>If the answer is 'Yes', but no source is provided or identified by the operator, the dimension is considered incomplete (no colour assigned).</p>
<p>Q2.9. Are there HE system-level mechanisms (not legal or regulatory) available to support the exercise of institutional autonomy? Please tick all that apply.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Yes, specific initiatives <input type="checkbox"/> Yes, policies <input type="checkbox"/> Yes, funding <input type="checkbox"/> No</p> <p>Q2.10. <i>[shown to those who selected 'Yes' for Q2.9]</i> If yes, please explain what specific initiatives/policies/funding are available. As much as possible, provide sources (e.g. parliamentary or governmental documents, news reports, etc.) and a list with all known examples.</p>	<p>Q2.9-10. If the answer is 'Yes' AND the source is provided, system-level mechanisms count towards the promotion score.</p> <p>If the answer is 'Yes', but no source or explanation is provided or identified by the operator, the dimension is considered incomplete (no colour assigned).</p>
<p>Q2.11. Are there requirements for an external body to evaluate how the exercise of institutional autonomy is ensured in HEIs?</p> <p><input type="radio"/> Yes <input type="radio"/> No</p> <p>Optional: Q2.12. <i>[shown to those who selected 'Yes' for Q2.11]</i> If yes, please indicate the body and specify the requirements. MOVED TO THE EURYDICE QUESTIONNAIRE</p>	<p>Q2.11-12. If the answer is 'Yes' AND the source is provided, requirements for external body count towards the promotion score.</p> <p>If the answer is 'Yes', but no source or explanation is provided or identified by the operator, the dimension is considered incomplete (no colour assigned).</p>

Promotion outlook

This block of questions is for stakeholders respondents.

Protection outlook -colour coding	Explanation
Positive	There are plans to promote institutional autonomy by: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Developing new guidelines to support the exercise of institutional autonomy OR 2. Developing new mechanisms/initiatives/policies/regulations to support the exercise of institutional autonomy OR 3. Requiring an external body to evaluate how institutional autonomy is ensured in HEIs.
Unchanged	There are no plans to increase OR decrease promotion of institutional autonomy.
Negative	There are plans to diminish the existing promotion of institutional autonomy.

Questions	Instructions for coding
<p>Q2.13. Are there plans to change the existing system-level guidelines, mechanisms or procedures regarding institutional autonomy? Please tick all that apply.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Yes, develop new guidelines to better promote institutional autonomy <input type="checkbox"/> Yes, develop new mechanisms (initiatives/ policies/ regulations) to better promote institutional autonomy <input type="checkbox"/> Yes, require an external body to evaluate how institutional autonomy is ensured in HEIs <input type="checkbox"/> Yes, make changes diminishing support for autonomy <input type="checkbox"/> No changes planned</p>	<p>Q2.13-14</p> <p>If 'Yes, develop new guidelines' OR 'Yes, develop new mechanisms' OR 'Yes, require an external body to evaluate' is selected, promotion outlook is 'positive'.</p> <p>If 'Yes, make changes diminishing promotion' is selected, promotion outlook is 'negative'.</p> <p>If 'No changes planned', promotion outlook is 'unchanged'.</p> <p>If the answer to Q2.13 is 'Yes', but no sources or examples are provided or identified by the operator in Q2.14, the</p>

<p>Q2.14. <i>[shown to those who selected 'Yes' for Q2.13]</i> If yes, please explain what guidelines and mechanisms are planned to be introduced. As much as possible, provide sources (e.g. parliamentary debates, news, draft legislation, etc.). Give all known examples.</p>	<p>indicator is considered incomplete, the category and colour-code are not assigned to the indicator 'promotion outlook'.</p>
--	---

De facto

This block of questions is filled in by HE stakeholders and open platform respondents.

Questions	Instructions for coding
<p>Q2.15. Are there positive developments at the system level that support institutional autonomy in practice? <input type="radio"/> Yes <input type="radio"/> No</p> <p>Q2.16. <i>[shown to those who selected 'Yes' for Q2.15]</i> If yes, please provide a short inventory of positive developments. Give all known examples since 2020 <i>[or another date specified by the project team, depending on when the monitoring is undertaken]</i>.</p>	<p><i>De facto</i> responses are not coded, they are summarized by the operator and presented as bullet point lists of key developments, infringements, and threats.</p>
<p>Q2.17. Are there infringements at the system level that limit the exercise of institutional autonomy in practice? Infringements of institutional autonomy are defined as any actions that break or violate the legal provisions for the protection of institutional autonomy in your system or the provisions of the EHEA statement on institutional autonomy. Infringements of institutional autonomy can be, or result from, actions of state or non-state actors, political or non-political organizations, from outside or inside the university (such as students, staff, university administrators or their organizations). <input type="radio"/> Yes <input type="radio"/> No</p> <p>Q2.18. <i>[shown to those who selected 'Yes' for Q2.17]</i> If yes, provide an inventory of infringements. Give all known examples since 2020 <i>[or another date, depending on the time of the monitoring]</i>.</p>	
<p>Q2.19. Are there threats at the system level that might limit the exercise of institutional autonomy in practice? Threats to institutional autonomy are any actions that may lead to but have not yet resulted in infringements of institutional autonomy. <input type="radio"/> Yes <input type="radio"/> No</p>	
<p>Q2.20. <i>[shown to those who selected 'Yes' for Q2.19].</i> If yes, provide an inventory of threats. Give all known examples since 2020 <i>[or another date, depending on the time of the monitoring]</i>.</p>	

2.3 Student and staff participation in governance of higher education

Protection

Questions in this block are for public authorities and higher education stakeholders respondents.

Protection -colour coding	Explanation
<p>Full</p>	<p>1. The concepts of 'student participation' AND 'staff participation' are explicitly mentioned in legislation or they are protected through legislative or judicial decisions) AND 2. The concepts are defined/specified in legislation in line with the respective EHEA statement to include ALL the rights that guarantee inclusion of students AND staff in decision-making and decision-taking regarding HEIs : - self-organise autonomously without undue interference - elect and be elected to the relevant governing bodies - have their views represented and taken into account - initiate debates and table proposals in all governing bodies and participate in discussion of and decision on them - be heard and have a vote on internal organisation and administration - be consulted on further development of the relevant HEI through their representative organisations (unions). AND</p>

	<p>3) System-level guarantees are provided to ensure stable learning conditions for students AND stable working conditions for academic staff AND</p> <p>4) Regulations regarding the recognition of HEIs include the requirement for HEIs to respect the right of students AND staff to participate in governance as a condition for recognition.</p>
Adequate	<p>1. The concepts of ‘student participation’ AND ‘staff participation’ are explicitly mentioned in legislation, or they are protected through legislative or judicial decisions AND</p> <p>2. These concepts are defined/specified in legislation in line with the respective EHEA statement to include 4 or 5 of the 6 rights listed immediately above, which guarantee inclusion of students AND staff in decision-making and decision-taking.</p> <p>3) System-level guarantees are provided, ensuring stable learning conditions for students OR stable working conditions for academic staff</p> <p>4) The regulations regarding recognition of HEIs include the requirement to respect the participation of students OR staff in governance as a condition for recognition.</p>
Intermediary	<p>1. The concepts of ‘student participation’ AND ‘staff participation’ are explicitly mentioned in legislation as a right, or they are protected through legislative or judicial decisions) AND</p> <p>2. The concepts are defined/specified in legislation in line with the respective EHEA statement to include 2 or 3 of the 6 rights listed above, which guarantee inclusion of students AND staff in decision-making and decision-taking regarding HEIs,</p> <p>3) System-level guarantees are provided to ensure stable learning conditions for students OR stable working conditions for academic staff</p> <p>4) The regulations regarding recognition of HEIs include the requirement to respect the participation of students OR staff in governance as a condition for recognition.</p>
Inadequate	<p>1. The concepts of ‘student participation’ AND ‘staff participation’ are explicitly mentioned in legislation as a right, or they are protected through legislative or judicial decisions) AND</p> <p>2. The concepts are defined/specified in legislation in line with the respective EHEA statement to include only 1 of 6 rights listed above, which guarantee inclusion of students AND staff in decision-making and decision-taking regarding HEIs.</p> <p>3) System-level guarantees are provided to ensure stable learning conditions for students AND stable working conditions for academic staff</p> <p>4) The regulations regarding recognition of HEIs include the requirement to respect the participation of students OR staff in governance as a condition for recognition.</p>
Absent	<p>The concepts of ‘student participation’ and ‘staff participation in HEI governance’ are not specifically mentioned in any type of legislation or judicial decisions and there are no provisions to protect them OR the definition of student and staff participation in legislation does not align with the dimensions detailed in the respective EHEA statement.</p>

Questions	Instructions for coding
<p>Q3.1. Is the concept of student participation in higher education governance explicitly mentioned in legislation in your higher education system? Please tick all that apply.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Yes, in constitution.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Yes, in law.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Yes, in judicial decisions.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> No</p> <p>Q3.2. [shown to those who selected ‘Yes’ for Q3.1] If yes, please indicate the definitions and references/links to the official sources for these definitions.</p> <p>Q3.3. Is the concept of staff participation in higher education governance explicitly mentioned in legislation? (Please, tick all that apply)</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Yes, in constitution.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Yes, in law.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Yes, in judicial decisions</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> No</p>	<p>Q3.1 If the answer is ‘Yes’ to any of three options (constitution, law, judicial decisions) AND the source is provided in Q3.2, the concept of ‘student participation’ is considered explicitly mentioned.</p> <p>If the answer is ‘No’, <i>de jure</i> protection of student participation is seen as ‘absent’.</p> <p>If the answer is ‘Yes’ to any of the three options, but no source is provided or identified by the operator, the answer is considered incomplete.</p> <p>Q3.3. If the answer is ‘Yes’ to any of three options (constitution, law, judicial decisions) AND the source is provided in Q3.5, the concept of staff participation is considered explicitly mentioned.</p> <p>If the answer is ‘No’, <i>de jure</i> protection of student participation is seen as ‘absent’.</p> <p>If the answer is ‘Yes’ to any of the three options, but no source is provided or identified by the operator, the answer is considered incomplete.</p>

Q3.4. [shown to those who selected 'Yes' for Q3.3]

If yes, please indicate the definitions and references/links to the sources for these definitions.

Q3.1 to Q3.4 - REMOVED AS SEPARATE QUESTIONS - INCLUDED IN THE EURYDICE QUESTIONNAIRE AS REFORMULATED UNDER 3.9 BELOW

Q3.5. Do regulations or procedures for HEIs to ensure participation of students and staff in HEI governance apply to private institutions?

- Yes, everything is the same
- No, there are no regulations or procedures about student and staff participation for private institutions
- Yes, but there are separate regulations and procedures for private institutions

Q3.6. Is there a difference between the regulations or for HEIs to ensure participation of students and staff in HEI governance in for-profit and not-for-profit institutions?

- Yes
- No

Q3.7. [shown to those who selected 'Yes' for Q3.6]

If yes, please specify briefly and give reference/ link to the source.

Q3.8. In accordance with the statement for this value, EHEA members committed to adopt and enforce regulations and procedures regarding student and staff participation at all levels of governance. Please, mark in the following table all cases for which such regulations and procedures exist.

	Students	Staff
Transnational/European governance (e.g., structures beyond national borders, such as EHEA structures, EU higher education initiatives, or international consortia/alliances involving multiple countries)		
National governance (e.g., system-level structures within the country, such as ministries, national higher education councils, agencies, or parliaments responsible for higher education policy and oversight)		
Regional (sub-national) governance (e.g., sub-national entities within the country with devolved powers over higher education, such		

as states, provinces, regions, or autonomous communities in federal or decentralized systems; mark N/A as "Cannot answer" if your country has no such level)		
Institutional governance (e.g., within individual higher education institutions, such as governing boards, senates, councils, or rectorates where decisions on policies, priorities, and operations are made)		
Departmental governance (e.g., sub-institutional units, such as faculties, departments, programmes, or committees handling curriculum, research, or local decision-making)		

Q.3.8.1 Please provide reference/link to the source

Q.3.8 and Q.3.8.1 REFORMULATED AS PRESENTED HERE AND MOVED TO THE EURYDICE QUESTIONNAIRE

Q3.9 Please mark in the table below the rights of students and staff that are protected in your higher education system

Students/staff	Protected in law	In regulations	In judicial decisions and customary practice	In institutional statutes/by-laws
Right to self-organise autonomously				
Right to elect and be elected to the relevant governing bodies				
Right to have their views represented and taken into account				
Right to initiate debates and table proposals in				

Q3.9-10. For 'full protection', all 6 rights should be covered in legislation for students AND staff (apart from requirements to other dimensions).

For 'adequate protection'- 4-5 rights should be covered in legislation for students AND staff (apart from requirements to other dimensions).

For 'intermediary protection'- 2-3 rights should be covered in legislation for students OR staff (apart from requirements to other dimensions).

For 'inadequate protection' –1 right should be covered in legislation for students OR staff (apart from requirements to other dimensions).

If no evidence is provided or identified by the operator in Q3.10., the answer is considered incomplete.

all governing bodies and participate in discussion of and decision on them				
Right to be heard and have a vote on internal organisation and administration				
Right to be consulted on further development of the relevant HEI through their representative organisations (unions).				

Q3.10. For any right marked as legally protected, please provide reference/link to the source.
REFORMULATED AS PRESENTED HERE AND MOVED TO THE EURYDICE QUESTIONNAIRE

Q3.11. If rights are guaranteed to staff, do they apply to the following types of staff? Please tick all that apply.

- Tenured staff (permanent contract)
- Non-tenured staff (fixed term contract)
- Full-time staff
- Part-time staff
- The rights are guaranteed without specification of staff types
- Different rights are guaranteed to different types of staff

Q3.12 Are stable learning conditions for students guaranteed in your higher education system?

- Yes, they are legally guaranteed
- Yes, there are non-legal guidelines/policies/funding for this
- No

Q3.13. [shown to those who selected 'Yes' for Q3.12]
If yes, please briefly introduce how 'stable' is defined and give reference/ link to the source.

Q3.14. Are stable working conditions for academic staff guaranteed in your higher education system?

- Yes, legally guaranteed
- Yes, there are guidelines/policies/initiatives/ funding for this
- No

Q3.15. [shown to those who selected 'Yes' for Q3.14]
If yes, please briefly introduce how 'stable' is defined and give reference/ link to the source.

Q3.12-15 For assessment of protection, the option 'Yes, they are legally guaranteed' is to be checked in Q3.12. and Q3.14. To qualify for compliance, both answers must be supported by evidence.
If no evidence is provided or identified by the operator for Q3.12, and Q3.14., **the answer is considered incomplete.**

<p>Q3.16. Do national rules for the recognition of HEIs include recommendations regarding the participation of students and staff in governance?</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input type="checkbox"/> Yes, there are recommendations for participation of students and staff <input type="checkbox"/> Yes, but only for staff <input type="checkbox"/> Yes, but only for students <input type="checkbox"/> No, there are no recommendations on participation of either students or staff in the national rules on recognition of HEIs <p>Q3.17 [shown to those who selected 'Yes' for Q3.16] If yes, please provide reference/ link to the source.</p>	<p>Q3.16-17. For 'full protection', recommendations must be in place for students AND staff.</p> <p>For 'adequate protection'- recommendations must be in place for students OR staff.</p> <p>For 'intermediary protection' or 'inadequate protection' – recommendations in the rules for recognition of HEIs are optional.</p> <p>If no evidence is provided or identified by the operator, the answer is considered incomplete.</p>
---	---

Protection outlook

This block of questions is for the HE stakeholders respondents.

Protection outlook -colour coding	Explanation
Positive	There are documented plans to increase protection of student OR staff participation in HE governance in EITHER: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - upcoming legislation generically OR - upcoming judicial decisions OR - by defining the concept in upcoming legislation
Unchanged	There are no documented plans to increase OR decrease protection of student OR staff participation in HE governance.
Negative	There are documented plans to diminish existing protection of student OR staff participation in HE governance: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - in upcoming legislation - in upcoming judicial decisions

Questions	Instructions for coding
<p>Q3.18. Are there plans currently to change the legal protection of student or staff participation in HEI governance (e.g. in upcoming legislation or judicial decisions)?</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input type="checkbox"/> Yes, increase legal protection for student participation in governance <input type="checkbox"/> Yes, increase legal protection for staff participation in governance <input type="checkbox"/> Yes, decrease legal protection for student participation in governance <input type="checkbox"/> Yes, decrease legal protection for staff participation in governance <input type="checkbox"/> No, neither increase nor decrease legal protection <p>Q3.19. [shown to those who selected 'Yes' for Q3.18] If yes, please explain what upcoming legislation/judicial decisions are planned. As much as possible, provide sources (e.g. parliamentary debates, news, draft legislation, etc.) and a short list of all known examples.</p>	<p>Q3.18-19. If 'Yes, increase legal protection' for either students or staff is selected, protection outlook is 'positive'.</p> <p>If 'Yes, decrease legal protection' is selected for either students or staff, protection outlook is 'negative'.</p> <p>If 'No, neither increase nor decrease', protection outlook is 'unchanged'</p> <p>If 'Yes, increase legal protection' is selected for some respondents and 'Yes, decrease legal protection' by another, the system operator will check and clarify. If both are true, this will be coded as both 'positive' and 'negative' (half-half of the respective system on the map)</p> <p>If the answer to Q3.18. is 'Yes', but no sources or examples are provided or identified by the operator n Q3.19, the indicator is considered incomplete, and no colour is assigned.</p>

Promotion

Questions in this block are for public authorities and higher education stakeholders respondents.

Promotion -colour coding	Explanation

Very significant	<p>System-level authorities have developed:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Guidelines or mechanisms (initiatives/policies/funding) to promote the inclusion of students AND staff in decision-making and decision-taking regarding HEIs and support all 6 of their rights as defined in respective EHEA statement: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - self-organise autonomously without undue interference - elect and be elected to the relevant governing bodies - have their views represented and taken into account - initiate debates and table proposals in all governing bodies and participate in discussion of and decision on them - be heard and have a vote on internal organisation and administration - be consulted on further development of the relevant HEI through their representative organisations (unions). Guidelines and mechanisms (initiatives/policies/funding) for promoting stable learning conditions for students AND working conditions for academic staff
Significant	<p>System-level authorities have developed:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Guidelines or mechanisms (initiatives/policies/funding) to promote inclusion of students AND staff in decision-making and decision-taking regarding HEIs and support 3 to 5 out of the 6 rights listed immediately above. Guidelines and mechanisms (initiatives/policies/funding) for promoting stable learning conditions for students AND working conditions for academic staff
Intermediary	<p>System-level authorities have developed:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Guidelines or mechanisms (initiatives/policies/funding) to promote inclusion of students OR staff in decision-making and decision-taking regarding HEIs and support only 1 or 2 of the 6 rights listed above. Guidelines and mechanisms (initiatives/policies/funding) for promoting stable learning conditions for students OR working conditions for academic staff
Absent	<p>System-level authorities have NOT developed any guidelines or mechanisms (initiatives/policies/funding) to support the exercise of student and staff participation in governance AND to promote stable learning conditions for students and working conditions for academic staff.</p>
Negative	<p>System-level authorities have developed guidelines/mechanisms that weaken the exercise of student and staff participation in HE governance.</p>

Questions	Instructions for coding																					
<p>Q3.20. Are there HE system-level guidelines or mechanisms (initiatives/policies/funding) to support the participation of students and staff in HEI governance? Please tick all that apply.</p> <table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <thead> <tr> <th style="width: 80%;"></th> <th style="width: 10%; text-align: center;">For students</th> <th style="width: 10%; text-align: center;">For staff</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Right to self-organise autonomously without undue interference</td> <td style="text-align: center;"><input type="checkbox"/></td> <td style="text-align: center;"><input type="checkbox"/></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Right to elect and be elected to the relevant governing bodies</td> <td style="text-align: center;"><input type="checkbox"/></td> <td style="text-align: center;"><input type="checkbox"/></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Right to have their views represented and taken into account</td> <td style="text-align: center;"><input type="checkbox"/></td> <td style="text-align: center;"><input type="checkbox"/></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Right to initiate debates and table proposals in all governing bodies and participate in discussion of and decision on them</td> <td style="text-align: center;"><input type="checkbox"/></td> <td style="text-align: center;"><input type="checkbox"/></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Right to be heard and have a vote on internal organisation and administration</td> <td style="text-align: center;"><input type="checkbox"/></td> <td style="text-align: center;"><input type="checkbox"/></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Right to be consulted on further development of the relevant HEI through their representative organisations (unions).</td> <td style="text-align: center;"><input type="checkbox"/></td> <td style="text-align: center;"><input type="checkbox"/></td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>Q3.21. <i>[shown to those who selected 'Yes' for Q3.20]</i> For any right marked as supported by guidelines, policies or funding, please provide reference/link to the source.</p>		For students	For staff	Right to self-organise autonomously without undue interference	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Right to elect and be elected to the relevant governing bodies	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Right to have their views represented and taken into account	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Right to initiate debates and table proposals in all governing bodies and participate in discussion of and decision on them	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Right to be heard and have a vote on internal organisation and administration	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Right to be consulted on further development of the relevant HEI through their representative organisations (unions).	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<p>Q3.20-21 (dimension 2 under this indicator, see table immediately above)</p> <p>For 'very significant promotion', all 6 rights should be promoted for students AND staff.</p> <p>For 'significant promotion'- 3 to 5 rights should be promoted for students AND staff.</p> <p>For 'intermediary promotion'- 1 or 2 rights should be promoted for students OR staff.</p> <p>Not a single right promoted for students AND staff qualifies as 'absent promotion'.</p> <p>If no evidence is provided or identified by the operator in Q3.21 to support the answers, dimension 1 is considered incomplete.</p>
	For students	For staff																				
Right to self-organise autonomously without undue interference	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>																				
Right to elect and be elected to the relevant governing bodies	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>																				
Right to have their views represented and taken into account	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>																				
Right to initiate debates and table proposals in all governing bodies and participate in discussion of and decision on them	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>																				
Right to be heard and have a vote on internal organisation and administration	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>																				
Right to be consulted on further development of the relevant HEI through their representative organisations (unions).	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>																				

<p>Q3.22. Are there any guidelines or mechanisms (initiatives/policies/funding) promoting stable learning conditions for students in the given national context?</p> <p><input type="radio"/> Yes <input type="radio"/> No</p> <p>Q3.23 [shown to those who selected 'Yes' for Q3.22] If yes, please explain what guidelines or mechanisms (initiatives/policies/funding) are in place.</p> <p>Q3.24. Are there any system-level guidelines, policies or initiatives promoting stable working conditions for the staff?</p> <p><input type="radio"/> Yes <input type="radio"/> No</p> <p>Q3.25 [shown to those who selected 'Yes' for Q3.24] If yes, please explain what guidelines or mechanisms (initiatives/policies/funding) are in place.</p>	<p>Q3.22.-25. (dimension 2 under this indicator, see table above with colour coding instructions)</p> <p>For 'very significant promotion' and 'significant promotion', the respective numbers of measures promoting stable conditions for students (Q3.22.) AND staff (Q3.24.) should be in place.</p> <p>For 'intermediary promotion'- measures promoting stable conditions for students (Q3.22) OR staff (Q3.24) should be in place.</p> <p>The answer 'No' in Q3.22 and Q3.24 qualifies as 'absent promotion'.</p> <p>If no evidence are provided to support the answers from Q3.22 and Q3.22, the dimension 2 is considered incomplete.</p>
	<p>The score for this indicator results from combining the scores for dimensions 1 and 2, as explained in the colour-coding table above.</p>

Promotion outlook

This block of questions is for the HE stakeholders respondents.

Protection outlook -colour coding	Explanation
Positive	There are documented plans to promote participation of students and staff in HE governance by: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Developing new guidelines to support the participation of students and staff OR Developing new mechanisms (initiatives/policies/regulations) to support the participation of students and staff
Unchanged	There are no documented plans to increase OR decrease promotion of participation of students and staff.
Negative	There are documented plans to diminish existing promotion of participation of students and staff.

Questions	Instructions for coding												
<p>Q3.26. Are there plans to change system-level mechanisms promoting student and/or staff participation in HE governance? Please tick all that apply.</p> <table border="1" data-bbox="124 1563 667 1821"> <thead> <tr> <th></th> <th>For students</th> <th>For staff</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Yes, to develop guidelines/policies/ funding supporting participation</td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Yes, to adopt policies that would diminish participation</td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>No changes planned</td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>Q3.27 [shown to those who selected 'Yes' for Q3.26] If yes, please explain what guidelines and mechanisms are planned to be introduced. As much as possible, provide sources (e.g. parliamentary debates, news, draft legislation, etc.). Give all known examples.</p>		For students	For staff	Yes, to develop guidelines/policies/ funding supporting participation			Yes, to adopt policies that would diminish participation			No changes planned			<p>Q3.26-27</p> <p>If 'Yes, to develop guidelines supporting participation' is selected for either students or staff is, promotion outlook is 'positive'.</p> <p>If 'Yes, to adopt policies that would diminish participation' is selected, protection outlook is 'negative'.</p> <p>If 'No changes planned', protection outlook is 'unchanged'</p> <p>If 'Yes, to develop guidelines supporting participation' is selected by some respondents, and 'Yes, to adopt policies that would diminish participation' by others, the operator will check and finalize. If both are true, both colours will be included in the respective box (half-half).</p> <p>If the answer to Q3.26 is 'Yes', but no sources or examples are provided or identified by the operator in Q3.27, the</p>
	For students	For staff											
Yes, to develop guidelines/policies/ funding supporting participation													
Yes, to adopt policies that would diminish participation													
No changes planned													

	indicator is considered incomplete, the category and colour-code are not assigned to the and no colour is assigned.
--	--

De facto

This block of questions is for the HE stakeholders and respondents on the open platform.

Questions	Instructions for coding
<p>Q3.28. Are there positive developments in your higher education system that support participation of students and staff in HE governance in practice?</p> <p><input type="radio"/> Yes</p> <p><input type="radio"/> No</p>	<p><i>De facto</i> responses are not coded, they are summarized by the operator and presented as bullet point lists of key developments, infringements, and threats.</p>
<p>Q3.29 [shown to those who selected 'Yes' for Q3.28] If yes, please provide a short inventory of positive developments that you find relevant. Give all known examples since 2020 [or another date specified by the project team depending on when the monitoring is undertaken].</p>	
<p>Q3.30. Are there infringements in your higher education system with regard to participation of students and staff in HE governance in practice?</p> <p>Infringements of the right to participation of students and staff in HE governance are defined as any actions that break or violate the legal provisions for the protection of participation of students and staff in governance in your system or the provisions of the respective EHEA fundamental value statement. Infringements of participation of students and staff in HEI governance can be, or result from, actions of state or non-state actors, political or non-political organizations, from outside or inside the university (such as students, staff, university administrators or their organizations).</p> <p><input type="radio"/> Yes</p> <p><input type="radio"/> No</p>	
<p>Q3.31. [shown to those who selected 'Yes' for Q3.28] If yes, provide an inventory of infringements. Give all known examples since 2020 [or another date specified by the project team depending on when the monitoring is undertaken].</p>	
<p>Q3.32. Are there threats at the system level with regard to participation of students and staff in HE governance?</p> <p>Threats to participation of students and staff in HE governance are any actions that may lead to but have not yet resulted in infringements of the right to participation of students and staff in HE governance.</p> <p><input type="radio"/> Yes</p> <p><input type="radio"/> No</p>	
<p>Q3.33 [shown to those who selected 'Yes' for Q3.30] If yes, provide an <u>inventory</u> of threats. Give all known examples since 2020 [or another date specified by the project team depending on when the monitoring is undertaken].</p>	

2.4 Academic integrity

Protection

Questions in this block are for public authorities and higher education stakeholders respondents.

Protection -colour coding	Explanation
Full	<p>The concept of academic integrity defined in line with the respective EHEA statement is explicitly mentioned in legislation AND all of the following are in place:</p> <p>1) System-level regulations, standards and guidelines on academic integrity, including:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - provisions for independent bodies mandated to monitor the implementation of the regulations, standards and guidelines regarding academic integrity - specific provisions regarding integrity in activities making use of digital technologies

	<p>- participation in international cooperation frameworks dedicated to ensuring academic integrity/implementation of the provisions of such frameworks in the respective system</p> <p>2) System-level requirements that academic integrity policies in learning and teaching, research, in administrative procedures and in institutional governance be included in the quality assurance procedures at programme and institutional level, and be reviewed by the appropriate internal and external bodies in line with European and national frameworks.</p> <p>3) System-level provisions requiring HEIs to adopt Code of Ethics describing issues of integrity and clarifying how to address them in all cases.</p> <p>4) System-level provisions requiring that all organisational, cultural, legislative, financial and other measures promote a healthy working environment and error culture, while avoiding regulatory loopholes that allow impunity for academic misconduct.</p>
Adequate	The concept of academic integrity is explicitly mentioned in legislation or protected through judicial decisions but only 3 out of 4 specific provisions (above) formulated in line with the respective EHEA statement are in place.
Intermediary	The concept of academic integrity is explicitly mentioned in legislation or protected through judicial decisions but only 2 out of 4 specific provisions (above) formulated in line with the respective EHEA statement are in place.
Inadequate	The concept of academic integrity is explicitly mentioned in legislation or protected through judicial decisions but only 1 out of 4 specific provisions (above) formulated in line with the respective EHEA statement is in place.
Absent	The concept of academic integrity is NOT specifically mentioned in any type of legislation or judicial decisions, and there are NO legal provisions regarding academic integrity formulated in line with the EHEA statement on this fundamental value.

Questions	Instructions for coding
<p>Q4.1. Is academic integrity legally protected in your higher education system? Please tick all that apply.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Yes, in constitution</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Yes, in law (for e.g. education / higher education law)</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Yes, in judicial decisions or customary practice</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> No</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Other (please specify)</p> <p>Q4.2. [shown to those who selected 'Yes' for Q4.1] If yes or other, please indicate the definitions and references/links to the sources for these definitions. REFORMULATED AS PRESENTED HERE AND MOVED TO THE EURYDICE QUESTIONNAIRE</p>	<p>Q4.1-2. If the answer is 'Yes' to any of three options (constitution, law, judicial decisions) AND the source is provided in Q4.2, the concept of academic integrity is considered explicitly mentioned.</p> <p>If the answer is 'No', de jure protection of academic integrity is seen as 'absent'.</p> <p>If the answer is 'Yes' to any of the three options, but no source is provided or identified by the operator, the answer is considered incomplete.</p>
<p>4.3. What dimensions or requirements regarding academic integrity are covered by the existing legislation? Please, tick all that apply</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Existence of external bodies mandated to evaluate the implementation of the standards and regulations regarding academic integrity</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Provisions regarding academic integrity when using digital technologies</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Requirements to participate in international cooperation frameworks for integrity</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Requirements for QA procedures (for learning, teaching, admin procedures, and institutional governance) to include academic integrity provisions</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Provisions on academic integrity to be reviewed by the appropriate internal and external bodies in line with European and national frameworks.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Requirements for HEIs to adopt Code of Ethics</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> None of the above</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Other (please specify)</p> <p>Q4.4. For all dimensions covered by existing regulations, please provide links/references to the sources.</p>	<p>Q4.3</p> <p>For 'full protection', all 4 dimensions are covered.</p> <p>For 'adequate protection' - 3.</p> <p>For 'intermediary protection' - 2.</p> <p>For 'inadequate protection' - 1.</p> <p>If the answer is 'None of the above', de jure protection of academic integrity is seen as 'absent'.</p> <p>If the answer to Q4.3 or Q4.4 provides no evidence, the indicator is considered incomplete, the category and colour-code are not assigned to the indicator 'protection'.</p>

REFORMULATED AS PRESENTED HERE AND MOVED TO THE EURYDICE QUESTIONNAIRE

Protection outlook

This block of questions is filled in by the HE stakeholders respondents.

Protection outlook -colour coding	Explanation
Positive	There are documented plans to increase protection of academic integrity EITHER: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - in upcoming legislation generally or as a right OR - in upcoming judicial decisions OR - by defining the concept in upcoming legislation
Unchanged	There are no documented plans to increase OR decrease protection of academic integrity.
Negative	There are documented plans to diminish the existing protection of academic integrity: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - in upcoming legislation - in upcoming judicial decisions

Questions	Instructions for coding
<p>Q4.5. Are there plans currently to change the legal protection of academic integrity (e.g. in upcoming legislation or judicial decisions)?</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Yes, increase legal protection</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Yes, decrease legal protection</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> No, neither increase nor decrease legal protection</p> <p>Q4.6. [shown to those who selected 'Yes' for Q4.5] If yes, please explain what upcoming legislation/judicial decisions are planned. As much as possible, provide sources (e.g. parliamentary debates, news, draft legislation, etc.) and a short list of all known examples.</p>	<p>Q4.5-6</p> <p>If 'Yes, increase legal protection' is selected, protection outlook is 'positive'.</p> <p>If 'Yes, decrease legal protection' is selected, protection outlook is 'negative'.</p> <p>If 'No, neither increase nor decrease', protection outlook is 'unchanged'</p> <p>If the answer to Q4.12 is 'Yes', but no sources or examples are provided or are identified by the operator in Q4.13, the indicator is considered incomplete, and no colour is assigned.</p>

Promotion

Questions in this block are for public authorities and higher education stakeholders respondents.

Promotion -colour coding	Explanation
Very significant	System-level authorities have developed: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Guidelines to support the exercise of academic integrity AND 2. Mechanisms (initiatives/policies/funding) to promote academic integrity AND 3. Specifically, QA procedures to evaluate how academic integrity is ensured in HEIs.
Significant	System-level authorities have developed 2 out of the 3 sets of initiatives listed immediately above.
Intermediary	System-level authorities have developed only 1 out of the 3 sets of initiatives listed immediately above.
Absent	System-level authorities have NOT developed any guidelines or mechanisms (initiatives/policies/funding) to support the exercise of academic integrity AND there are NO QA procedures to evaluate how academic integrity is ensured in HEIs.
Negative	System-level authorities have developed guidelines/mechanisms that weaken academic integrity.

Questions	Instructions for coding
<p>Q4.7. Are there system-level guidelines to support the exercise of academic integrity?</p> <p><input type="radio"/> Yes <input type="radio"/> No</p> <p>Q4.8 (Q4.7.1 IN THE EURYDICE QUESTIONNAIRE) If yes, please indicate the definitions and references/links to the sources MOVED TO THE EURYDICE QUESTIONNAIRE</p>	<p>Q4.7-8. If the answer is 'Yes' AND the source is provided, system-level guidelines count towards promotion score.</p> <p>If the answer is 'Yes', but no source is provided, the dimension is considered incomplete.</p>
<p>Q4.9. Are there system-level mechanisms (not legal or regulatory) available to support the exercise of academic integrity?</p> <p>(tick all that apply)</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Yes, specific initiatives <input type="checkbox"/> Yes, policies <input type="checkbox"/> Yes, funding <input type="checkbox"/> No</p> <p>Q4.10 [shown to those who selected 'Yes' for Q4.9] If yes, please explain what specific initiatives/policies/funding are available. As much as possible, provide sources (e.g. parliamentary debates, news, draft legislation, etc.) and a list of all known examples.</p>	<p>Q4.9-10. If the answer is 'Yes' AND the source is provided, system-level mechanisms count towards promotion score.</p> <p>If the answer is 'Yes', but no source or explanation is provided, the dimension is considered incomplete.</p>
<p>Q4.11. Are there QA procedures developed to evaluate how academic integrity is ensured in HEIs?</p> <p><input type="radio"/> Yes <input type="radio"/> No</p> <p>Q4.12 If yes, please specify the body and the requirements MOVED TO THE EURYDICE QUESTIONNAIRE</p>	<p>Q4.11-12. If the answer is 'Yes' AND the source is provided, requirements for external body count towards promotion score.</p> <p>If the answer is 'Yes', but no source or explanation is provided, the dimension is considered incomplete.</p>
	<p>The combined scores for three dimensions give the final promotion score:</p> <p>'Yes' to Q4.7, 4.9, 4.11 supported by evidence is coded as 'Very significant' promotion.</p> <p>'Yes' to 2 of 3 questions is coded as 'Significant' promotion.</p> <p>'Yes' to 1 of 3 questions is coded as 'Intermediary' promotion.</p> <p>If any of the dimensions is incomplete, no colour is assigned (indicator remains blank).</p>

Promotion outlook

This block of questions is filled in by the HE stakeholders.

Protection outlook – colour coding	Explanation
Positive	<p>There are documented plans to promote academic integrity by:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Developing new guidelines to support the exercise of academic integrity OR 2. Developing new mechanisms (initiatives/policies/regulations) to support the exercise of academic integrity OR 3. Developing QA procedures to evaluate how the exercise of academic integrity is ensured in HEIs.

Unchanged	There are no plans to increase OR decrease promotion of academic integrity.
Negative	There are plans to diminish existing promotion of academic integrity.

Questions	Instructions for coding
<p>Q4.13. Are there plans to change the system level guidelines, mechanisms or procedures related to academic integrity?</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input type="checkbox"/> Yes, to develop new guidelines to support promotion of academic integrity <input type="checkbox"/> Yes, to develop new mechanisms (initiatives/policies/regulations) to support promotion of academic integrity <input type="checkbox"/> Yes, to develop QA procedures to evaluate how academic integrity is ensured in HEIs <input type="checkbox"/> Yes, to make changes diminishing promotion <input type="checkbox"/> No changes planned <p>Q4.14. [shown to those who selected 'Yes' for Q4.13] If yes, please explain what guidelines, mechanisms and procedures are planned to be introduced. As much as possible, provide sources (e.g. parliamentary debates, news, draft legislation, etc.). Give all known examples.</p>	<p>Q4.12-13</p> <p>If 'Yes, to develop new guidelines' OR 'Yes, to develop new mechanisms' OR 'Yes, develop QA procedures' is selected, promotion outlook is 'positive'.</p> <p>If 'Yes, to make changes diminishing promotion' is selected, promotion outlook is 'negative'.</p> <p>If 'No changes planned', promotion outlook is 'unchanged'.</p> <p>If the answer to Q4.12 is 'Yes', but no sources or examples are provided in Q4.13, the indicator is considered incomplete.</p> <p>If both 'Yes' and 'No' answers are provided and supported by evidence, the operator will check, and if both are true, both colours will be assigned (half-half in the respective box).</p>

De facto

This block of questions is for the HE stakeholders and open platform respondents.

Questions	Instructions for coding
<p>Q4.14. Are there positive developments at the system level with regard to the protection, promotion or practice of academic integrity?</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input type="radio"/> Yes <input type="radio"/> No <p>Q4.15 [shown to those who selected 'Yes' for Q4.14] If yes, please provide a short inventory of positive developments that you find relevant. Give all known examples since 2020. [for another period indicated by the project team depending on when monitoring takes place]</p>	<p><i>De facto</i> responses are not coded, summarized by the operator and presented as bullet point lists of key developments, infringements, and threats.</p>
<p>Q4.16. Do public authorities from your system, the higher education communities and their members fulfil their obligations as detailed in the respective EHEA statement about academic integrity?</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input type="radio"/> Yes <input type="radio"/> No <p>Q4.16 [shown to those who selected 'Yes' for Q4.25] If yes, please explain briefly.</p>	
<p>Q4.17. Are there threats at the system level that might limit the exercise of academic integrity in practice?</p> <p>Threats to academic integrity are any actions that may lead to but have not yet resulted in limitations to the fulfilment of the obligations for any stakeholders detailed in the academic integrity EHEA statement.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input type="radio"/> Yes <input type="radio"/> No <p>Q4.18 [shown to those who selected 'Yes' for Q4.17] If yes, provide an inventory of threats. Give all known examples since 2020. [for another period indicated by the project team depending on when monitoring takes place]</p>	

2.5 Public responsibility for higher education

Protection

Questions in this block are for public authorities and higher education stakeholders respondents.

Protection -colour coding	Explanation
Full	<p>1. Explicit legal provisions or judicial decisions exist meant to further ALL of the following 4 major purposes of higher education:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - preparation for the labour market - preparation for life as active citizens of democratic societies - personal development - the development and maintenance of a broad and advanced knowledge base. <p>AND</p> <p>2. Explicit legal provisions or judicial decisions exist meant to ensure that ALL of the following frameworks within which higher education is conducted are put in place and function adequately:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - qualifications framework of the higher education system - frameworks for quality assurance - recognition of foreign qualifications - information on higher education provision - funding frameworks - the frameworks for the social dimension of higher education. <p>AND</p> <p>3. Explicit legal provisions or judicial decisions exist requiring public authorities to consult and seek input regarding the configuration and substance of frameworks from the following 3 types of stakeholders:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - the higher education sector - internal university constituencies - relevant external stakeholders.
Adequate	2 out of the 3 sets of legal provisions/judicial decisions detailed immediately above exist.
Intermediary	1 out of the 3 sets of legal provisions/judicial decisions detailed above exist.
Inadequate	None of the 3 sets of legal provisions/jurisprudence detailed above exist.
Absent	The concept of responsibility for higher education or its dimensions outlined in the respective EHEA statement are not explicitly mentioned in any type of legislation or judicial decisions.

Questions	Instructions for coding																					
<p>Q5.1. Have public authorities adopted legislation and regulations that support achieving the purposes of higher education as detailed in the EHEA definition of public responsibility for higher education? Please tick all that apply.</p> <table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <thead> <tr> <th style="width: 80%;"></th> <th style="width: 10%; text-align: center;">Yes</th> <th style="width: 10%; text-align: center;">No</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>preparation for the labour market</td> <td style="text-align: center;"><input type="checkbox"/></td> <td style="text-align: center;"><input type="checkbox"/></td> </tr> <tr> <td>preparation for life as active citizens of democratic societies</td> <td style="text-align: center;"><input type="checkbox"/></td> <td style="text-align: center;"><input type="checkbox"/></td> </tr> <tr> <td>personal development</td> <td style="text-align: center;"><input type="checkbox"/></td> <td style="text-align: center;"><input type="checkbox"/></td> </tr> <tr> <td>the development and maintenance of a broad and advanced knowledge base</td> <td style="text-align: center;"><input type="checkbox"/></td> <td style="text-align: center;"><input type="checkbox"/></td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>Q5.2. For all dimensions covered by existing regulations, please provide links/references to the sources Not moved</p> <p>Q5.3. Have public authorities adopted legislation and regulations to ensure that the necessary frameworks within which higher education is conducted are put in place and function adequately, as detailed in the EHEA definition of public responsibility for higher education? Please tick all that apply.</p> <table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <thead> <tr> <th style="width: 80%;"></th> <th style="width: 10%; text-align: center;">Yes</th> <th style="width: 10%; text-align: center;">No</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td style="height: 20px;"></td> <td style="text-align: center;"><input type="checkbox"/></td> <td style="text-align: center;"><input type="checkbox"/></td> </tr> </tbody> </table>		Yes	No	preparation for the labour market	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	preparation for life as active citizens of democratic societies	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	personal development	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	the development and maintenance of a broad and advanced knowledge base	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		Yes	No		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<p>Q5.1 is considered answered positively only if ALL its 5 items are answered positively.</p> <p>Q5.3 is considered answered positively only if ALL its 6 items are answered positively.</p> <p>Q5.5 is considered answered positively only if ALL its 3 items are answered positively.</p> <p>For Q5.1, Q5.3 and Q5.5, if the answer is Yes but no sources are provided or identified by the operator, the indicator is considered incomplete and will remain blank.</p>
	Yes	No																				
preparation for the labour market	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>																				
preparation for life as active citizens of democratic societies	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>																				
personal development	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>																				
the development and maintenance of a broad and advanced knowledge base	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>																				
	Yes	No																				
	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>																				

qualifications framework of the higher education system		
frameworks for quality assurance		
recognition of foreign qualifications		
information on higher education provision		
funding frameworks		
frameworks for the social dimension of higher education		

Q5.4. For all dimensions covered by existing regulations, please provide links/references to the sources

INCLUDED IN THE EURYDICE QUESTIONNAIRE IN OTHER SECTIONS

Q5.5. Have public authorities adopted legislation and regulations requiring to consult and seek input regarding the configuration and substance of the frameworks for higher education from the following types of stakeholders? Please tick all that apply.

	Yes	No
the higher education sector		
internal university constituencies		
relevant external stakeholders		

Q5.6. For all dimensions covered by existing regulations, please provide links/references to the sources.

Protection outlook

This block of questions is for the HE stakeholders.

Protection outlook colour coding	Explanation
Positive	<p>There are documented plans to adopt new legislation and regulations to create or improve any of the following:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Legal provisions to further all major purposes of higher education: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - preparation for the labour market - preparation for life as active citizens of democratic societies - personal development - the development and maintenance of a broad and advanced knowledge base. <p>OR</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Legal provisions regarding the frameworks within which higher education is conducted: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - qualifications framework of the higher education system - frameworks for quality assurance - recognition of foreign qualifications - information on higher education provision - funding frameworks - the frameworks for the social dimension of higher education. <p>OR improve how:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Public authorities consult and seek input regarding the configuration and substance of these frameworks from the higher education sector, internal university constituencies and relevant external stakeholders
Unchanged	There are no documented plans to increase OR decrease protection of public responsibility for higher education.
Negative	There are documented plans to diminish existing protection of responsibility for higher education.

Questions	Instructions for coding
<p>Q5.7. Are there plans to adopt new legislation or regulations regarding the major purposes of higher education (preparation for the labour market, preparation for life as active citizens of democratic societies, personal development, development and maintenance of a broad and advanced knowledge base)? Please tick all that apply.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input type="checkbox"/> Yes, to support to better pursuing any of them. <input type="checkbox"/> Yes, to make any of them more difficult to pursue. <input type="checkbox"/> No changes planned <p>Q5.8. <i>[shown to those who selected 'Yes' for Q5.7]</i> If yes, please provide sources (e.g. parliamentary debates, news, draft legislation, etc.). Give all known examples.</p> <p>Q5.9. Are there plans to make changes in the legislation and regulations regarding the frameworks within which higher education is conducted as detailed in the EHEA definition of public responsibility for higher education (frameworks for quality assurance; recognition of foreign qualifications; information on higher education provision; funding frameworks; the frameworks for the social dimension of higher education)? Please tick all that apply.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input type="checkbox"/> Yes, there are plans to improve any of these frameworks. <input type="checkbox"/> Yes, there are plans to weaken any of these frameworks. <input type="checkbox"/> No plans for changes <p>Q5.10. <i>[shown to those who selected 'Yes' for Q5.9]</i> If yes, please provide sources (e.g. parliamentary debates, news, draft legislation, etc.). Give all known examples.</p> <p>Q5.11. Are there plans to make changes in the legislation or regulations regarding the obligation of public authorities to consult and seek input regarding the configuration and substance of these frameworks from the higher education sector, internal university constituencies and relevant external stakeholders?</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input type="checkbox"/> Yes, there are plans to strengthen or make clearer this obligation. <input type="checkbox"/> Yes, there are plans to weaken this obligation. <input type="checkbox"/> No plans for changes <p>Q5.12. <i>[shown to those who selected 'Yes' for Q5.11]</i> If yes, please provide sources (e.g. parliamentary debates, news, draft legislation, etc.). Give all known examples.</p>	<p>Q5.7-8</p> <p>If 'Yes, to better pursue any of them' is selected, protection outlook is 'positive'.</p> <p>If 'Yes, to make any of them more difficult to pursue' is selected, protection outlook is 'negative'.</p> <p>If 'No changes planned', protection outlook is 'unchanged'</p> <p>If the answer is 'Yes', but no sources or examples are provided in Q5.8 or none can be identified by the operator, the indicator is considered incomplete, and no colour is assigned (remains blank).</p> <p>If "Yes" is selected to both first two options, the operator will check and finalize. If both alternatives are documented, both colours will be assigned (half-half in this box/for this indicator).</p> <p>Q5.9-10</p> <p>If 'Yes, there are plans to improve any of these frameworks' is selected, protection outlook for these questions is 'positive'.</p> <p>If 'Yes, there are plans to weaken any of these frameworks' is selected, protection outlook is 'negative'.</p> <p>If 'No changes planned', protection outlook is 'unchanged'</p> <p>If the answer to is 'Yes', but no sources or examples are provided in Q5.10 or none can be identified by the operator, the indicator is considered incomplete, and no colour is assigned (remains blank).</p> <p>If "Yes" is selected to both first two options in Q5.9, the operator will check and finalize. If both alternatives are documented, both colours will be assigned (half-half in this box/for this indicator).</p> <p>Q5.11-12</p> <p>If 'Yes, there are plans to strengthen or make clearer this obligation' is selected, protection outlook is 'positive'.</p> <p>If 'Yes, there are plans to weaken this obligation' is selected, protection outlook is 'negative'.</p> <p>If 'No changes planned', protection outlook for these questions is 'unchanged'.</p> <p>If the answer to is 'Yes', but no sources or examples are provided in Q5.112 or none can be identified by the operator, the indicator is considered incomplete, and no colour is assigned (remains blank).</p> <p>If "Yes" is selected to both first two options in Q5.11, the operator will check and finalize. If both alternatives are documented, both colours will be assigned (half-half in this box/for this indicator).</p> <p>If outlook is positive in any of the questions Q 7, 9, and 11, the overall coding for this indicator is "positive".</p>

	<p>If outlook is negative in any of the questions Q 7, 9, and 11, the overall coding for this indicator is “negative”.</p> <p>If both are present (“positive” and “negative” elements of outlook) for at least one of these questions, both colours will be assigned for this indicator (half-half in this box)</p>
--	---

Promotion

Questions in this block are for public authorities and higher education stakeholders respondents.

Promotion – colour coding	Explanation
Very significant	<p>1. Policies and other initiatives exist intended to further the major purposes of higher education:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - preparation for the labour market - preparation for life as active citizens of democratic societies - personal development - the development and maintenance of a broad and advanced knowledge base. <p>AND</p> <p>2. Policies and other initiatives exist to ensure that the frameworks within which higher education is conducted function adequately:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - qualifications framework of the higher education system - frameworks for quality assurance - recognition of foreign qualifications - information on higher education provision - funding frameworks - the frameworks for the social dimension of higher education. <p>AND</p> <p>3. Policies and other initiatives exist to ensure that public authorities consult and seek input regarding the configuration and substance of frameworks from the following 3 types of stakeholders:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - the higher education sector - internal university constituencies - relevant external stakeholders.
Significant	2 out of the 3 sets of policies and initiatives listed immediately above are present
Intermediary	1 out of the 3 sets of policies and initiatives listed above is present
Absent	System-level authorities have not developed any of the 3 sets of policies and initiatives listed here
Negative	System-level authorities have developed policies and initiatives that weaken responsibility for higher education.

Questions	Instructions for coding															
<p>Q 5.13. Have public authorities adopted policies and non-legal initiatives to support achieving the major purposes of higher education? Please tick all that apply.</p> <table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <thead> <tr> <th></th> <th style="width: 50px;">Yes</th> <th style="width: 50px;">No</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>preparation for the labour market</td> <td style="text-align: center;"><input type="checkbox"/></td> <td style="text-align: center;"><input type="checkbox"/></td> </tr> <tr> <td>preparation for life as active citizens of democratic societies</td> <td style="text-align: center;"><input type="checkbox"/></td> <td style="text-align: center;"><input type="checkbox"/></td> </tr> <tr> <td>personal development</td> <td style="text-align: center;"><input type="checkbox"/></td> <td style="text-align: center;"><input type="checkbox"/></td> </tr> <tr> <td>the development and maintenance of a broad and advanced knowledge base</td> <td style="text-align: center;"><input type="checkbox"/></td> <td style="text-align: center;"><input type="checkbox"/></td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>Q5.14. For all dimensions covered by existing regulations, please provide links/references to the sources</p>		Yes	No	preparation for the labour market	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	preparation for life as active citizens of democratic societies	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	personal development	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	the development and maintenance of a broad and advanced knowledge base	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<p>Q5.13 is considered answered positively only if ALL its items are answered positively.</p> <p>Q5.15 is considered answered positively only if ALL its items are answered positively.</p> <p>Q5.17 is considered answered positively only if ALL its items are answered positively.</p> <p>The final score is assigned by combining the scores for each of these questions as per the coding</p>
	Yes	No														
preparation for the labour market	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>														
preparation for life as active citizens of democratic societies	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>														
personal development	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>														
the development and maintenance of a broad and advanced knowledge base	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>														

<p>Q5.15. Have public authorities adopted policies and non-legal initiatives to ensure that the necessary frameworks within which higher education is conducted function adequately? Please tick all that apply.</p> <table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <thead> <tr> <th style="width: 70%;"></th> <th style="width: 15%;">Yes</th> <th style="width: 15%;">No</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>qualifications framework of the higher education system</td> <td style="text-align: center;"><input type="checkbox"/></td> <td style="text-align: center;"><input type="checkbox"/></td> </tr> <tr> <td>frameworks for quality assurance</td> <td style="text-align: center;"><input type="checkbox"/></td> <td style="text-align: center;"><input type="checkbox"/></td> </tr> <tr> <td>recognition of foreign qualifications</td> <td style="text-align: center;"><input type="checkbox"/></td> <td style="text-align: center;"><input type="checkbox"/></td> </tr> <tr> <td>information on higher education provision</td> <td style="text-align: center;"><input type="checkbox"/></td> <td style="text-align: center;"><input type="checkbox"/></td> </tr> <tr> <td>funding frameworks</td> <td style="text-align: center;"><input type="checkbox"/></td> <td style="text-align: center;"><input type="checkbox"/></td> </tr> <tr> <td>frameworks for the social dimension of higher education</td> <td style="text-align: center;"><input type="checkbox"/></td> <td style="text-align: center;"><input type="checkbox"/></td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>Q5.16. For all dimensions covered by existing regulations, please provide links/references to the sources</p> <p>Q5.17. Have public authorities adopted policies and non-legal initiatives to ensure that public authorities consult and seek input regarding the configuration and substance of the frameworks for higher education from the following 3 types of stakeholders? Please tick all that apply.</p> <table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <thead> <tr> <th style="width: 70%;"></th> <th style="width: 15%;">Yes</th> <th style="width: 15%;">No</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>the higher education sector</td> <td style="text-align: center;"><input type="checkbox"/></td> <td style="text-align: center;"><input type="checkbox"/></td> </tr> <tr> <td>internal university constituencies</td> <td style="text-align: center;"><input type="checkbox"/></td> <td style="text-align: center;"><input type="checkbox"/></td> </tr> <tr> <td>relevant external stakeholders</td> <td style="text-align: center;"><input type="checkbox"/></td> <td style="text-align: center;"><input type="checkbox"/></td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>Q5.18. For all dimensions covered by existing regulations, please provide links/references to the sources</p>		Yes	No	qualifications framework of the higher education system	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	frameworks for quality assurance	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	recognition of foreign qualifications	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	information on higher education provision	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	funding frameworks	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	frameworks for the social dimension of higher education	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		Yes	No	the higher education sector	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	internal university constituencies	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	relevant external stakeholders	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<p>explanations in the table immediately above.</p> <p>For Q5.13, Q5.15 and Q5.17, if the answer is yes but no sources are provided or identified by the operator, the indicator is considered incomplete and will remain blank</p>
	Yes	No																																
qualifications framework of the higher education system	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>																																
frameworks for quality assurance	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>																																
recognition of foreign qualifications	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>																																
information on higher education provision	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>																																
funding frameworks	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>																																
frameworks for the social dimension of higher education	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>																																
	Yes	No																																
the higher education sector	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>																																
internal university constituencies	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>																																
relevant external stakeholders	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>																																

Promotion outlook

This block of questions is for the HE stakeholders.

Protection outlook -colour coding	Explanation
Positive	There are documented plans to adopt new policies and other initiatives to: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Further the major purposes of higher education OR 2. Ensure that the frameworks within which higher education is conducted function adequately OR 3. Enhance consultation and seeking input regarding the configuration and substance of these frameworks from the higher education sector, internal university constituencies and relevant external stakeholders
Unchanged	There are no plans to make any changes with regard to the existing policies and other initiatives in 1 to 3 immediately above.
Negative	There are documented plans to adopt new policies and other initiatives to: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Prevent pursuing any of the major purposes of higher education OR 2. Weaken the frameworks within which higher education is conducted function adequately OR 3. Reduce the obligation of public authority to consult and seek input regarding the configuration and substance of these frameworks from the higher education sector, internal university constituencies and relevant external stakeholders.

Questions	Instructions for coding
<p>Q5.19. Are there plans to make changes with regard to the policies and other (non-legal) initiatives concerning the major purposes of higher education (preparation for the labour market; preparation for life as active citizens of democratic societies; personal development; the development and maintenance of a broad and advanced knowledge base)? Please tick all that apply.</p>	<p>Q5.19-20 If ‘Yes, adopt new policies and initiatives to support better pursuing any of them’ is selected, this counts towards ‘positive’ promotion outlook.</p> <p>If ‘Yes, adopt new policies and initiatives meant to make any of them more difficult to pursue them’ is selected, this counts towards ‘negative’ promotion outlook.</p> <p>If ‘No changes planned’, promotion outlook is ‘unchanged’</p>

<p> <input type="checkbox"/> Yes, to adopt new policies and initiatives to support better pursuing any of these purposes. <input type="checkbox"/> Yes, to adopt new policies and initiatives that would make any of them more difficult to pursue. <input type="checkbox"/> No changes planned </p> <p> Q5.20 <i>[shown to those who selected 'Yes' for Q5.19]</i> If yes, please provide sources (e.g. parliamentary debates, news, draft legislation, etc.). Give all known examples. </p> <p> Q5.21 Are there plans to make changes with regard to policies and non-legal initiatives regarding the frameworks within which higher education is conducted (frameworks for quality assurance; recognition of foreign qualifications; information on higher education provision; funding frameworks; the frameworks for the social dimension of higher education.)? Please tick all that apply. </p> <p> <input type="checkbox"/> Yes, to adopt new policies and non-legal initiatives to improve any of these frameworks. <input type="checkbox"/> Yes, to adopt policy and non-legal initiatives to weaken any of these frameworks. <input type="checkbox"/> No plans for changes </p> <p> Q5.22 <i>[shown to those who selected 'Yes' for Q5.21]</i> If yes, please provide sources (e.g. parliamentary debates, news, draft legislation, etc.). Give all known examples. </p> <p> Q.5.23 Are there plans to make changes with regard to policies and non-legal initiatives regarding the obligation of public authorities to consult and seek input regarding the configuration and substance of these frameworks from the higher education sector, internal university constituencies and relevant external stakeholders? Please tick all that apply. </p> <p> <input type="checkbox"/> Yes, adopt policies or other initiatives to strengthen or make clearer this obligation. <input type="checkbox"/> Yes, adopt policies or other initiatives to weaken this obligation. <input type="checkbox"/> No plans for changes </p> <p> Q5.24 <i>[shown to those who selected 'Yes' for Q5.23]</i> f yes, please provide sources (e.g. parliamentary debates, news, draft legislation, etc.). Give all known examples. </p>	<p> If the answer to is 'Yes', but no sources or examples are provided in Q5.20 or none can be identified by the operator, the indicator is considered incomplete, and no colour is assigned (remains blank). </p> <p> If 'Yes' is selected to both first two options in Q5.19, the operator will check and finalize. If both alternatives are documented, both colours will be assigned (half-half in this box/for this indicator). </p> <p> Q5.21-22 If 'Yes, adopt new policies and non-legal initiatives to improve any of these frameworks' is selected, this counts towards 'positive' promotion outlook. </p> <p> If 'Yes, adopt policy and non-legal initiatives to weaken any of these frameworks' is selected, this counts towards 'negative' promotion outlook. </p> <p> If 'No changes planned', protection outlook is 'unchanged' </p> <p> If the answer to is 'Yes', but no sources or examples are provided in Q5.22 or none can be identified by the operator, the indicator is considered incomplete, and no colour is assigned (remains blank). </p> <p> If "Yes" is selected to both first two options in Q5.21, the operator will check and finalize. If both alternatives are documented, both colours will be assigned (half-half in this box/for this indicator). </p> <p> Q5.23-24 If 'Yes, there are plans to strengthen or make clearer this obligation' is selected, this counts towards 'positive' protection outlook. </p> <p> If 'Yes, there are plans to weaken this obligation' is selected, this counts towards 'negative' protection outlook. </p> <p> If 'No changes planned', protection outlook for these questions is 'unchanged'. </p> <p> If the answer to is 'Yes', but no sources or examples are provided in Q5.24 or none can be identified by the operator, the indicator is considered incomplete, and no colour is assigned (remains blank). </p> <p> If "Yes" is selected to both first two options in Q5.23, the operator will check and finalize. If both alternatives are documented, both colours will be assigned (half-half in this box/for this indicator). </p> <p> If outlook is positive in any of the questions Q5.19, 5.21, and 5.23, the overall coding for this indicator is 'positive'. </p> <p> If outlook is negative in any of the questions Q5.7, 5.9, and 5.11, the overall coding for this indicator is 'negative'. </p> <p> If both are present ('positive' and 'negative' elements of outlook) for at least one of these questions, both colours will be assigned for this indicator (half-half in this box) </p>
--	---

De facto

This block of questions is for HE stakeholders and open platform respondents.

Questions	Instructions for coding
<p>Do public authorities fulfil their obligations under the principle of public responsibility for higher education as detailed in the respective EHEA value? More precisely:</p> <p>Q5.25 To what extent are the legal frameworks adopted to further all major purposes of higher education, where they exist, implemented in practice? Please provide short narrative answers for:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">– preparation for the labour market– preparation for life as active citizens of democratic societies– personal development– the development and maintenance of a broad and advanced knowledge base. <p>Q5.26 To what extent are implemented in practice the existing legal and regulatory provisions adopted to ensure that the frameworks within which higher education is conducted are put in place and function adequately? Please provide short narrative answers for:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">– qualifications framework of the higher education system– frameworks for quality assurance– recognition of foreign qualifications– information on higher education provision– funding frameworks– frameworks for the social dimension of higher education <p>Q5.27 Do public authorities consult and seek input in the configuration of the frameworks within which higher education is conducted? Please provide short narrative answers for:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">– The higher education sector– Internal university constituencies– Relevant external stakeholders	<p><i>De facto</i> responses are not coded, they are summarized by the operator and presented as bullet point lists of key developments, fulfilments of obligations, and threats.</p>
<p>Q5.28 Are there positive developments in practice with regard to the exercise of public responsibility for higher education?</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><input type="radio"/> Yes<input type="radio"/> No <p>Q5.29 <i>[shown to those who selected 'Yes' for Q5.28]</i> If yes, please provide a short inventory of positive developments that you find relevant. Give all known examples since 2020. <i>[or another period depending on when monitoring is undertaken]</i></p>	
<p>Q5.30. Are there threats at the system level that might limit the effective exercise of responsibility for higher education in practice? Threats to responsibility for higher education are any actions that may lead to but have not yet resulted in limitations to the effective exercise of the responsibility for higher education.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><input type="radio"/> Yes<input type="radio"/> No <p>Q5.31 <i>[shown to those who selected 'Yes' for Q5.30]</i> If yes, provide an inventory of threats. Give all known examples since 2020. <i>[or another period depending on when monitoring is undertaken]</i></p>	

2.6 Public responsibility of higher education

Protection

Questions in this block are for public authorities and higher education stakeholders respondents.

Protection – colour coding	Explanation
Full	<p>System-level legislation and regulations exist that require and support higher education communities to undertake ALL of the following:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> a. pursue truth and the production, transmission, dissemination, curation, and use of knowledge as a public good b. uphold and develop the standards of teaching, learning, and research within and across academic disciplines. c. systematically inform broader society of its work and results d. engage in the identification, analysis, and understanding of the problems that confront broader society and individual constituencies; participate in designing solutions e. foster and disseminate, and be guided by a culture of democracy, solidarity, and ethics; design and pursue policies and activities in ways that are consistent with fairness, non-discrimination, and transparency. f. equip graduates with general, specialized and ethical knowledge, understanding, support them in developing the ability to act and to decide what action to take and what action to refrain from taking. g. offer access to higher education to qualified candidates without regard to their economic, social, ethnic, or other background and provide support in order to enable those admitted to complete their studies with success h. Contribute to addressing major challenges of modern societies, (e.g., the survival of our planet, issues of war and peace, democracy, and living together) through research, learning and teaching, societal outreach and innovation and technology transfer. i. engage in and with the public sphere, including in public debate, to ensure that our societies be developed and governed on the basis of factual knowledge as well as critical and constructive thinking j. help improve opportunities for all members of society
Adequate	6 to 9 out of the 10 sets of legal and regulatory provisions listed immediately above exist
Intermediary	3 to 5 out of the 10 sets of legal and regulatory provisions listed immediately above exist
Inadequate	1 or 2 out of the 10 sets of legal and regulatory provisions listed immediately above exist
Absent	The concept of ‘responsibility of higher education’ and its specific dimensions listed above are NOT explicitly mentioned in any type of legislation or judicial decisions

Questions	Instructions for coding																					
<p>Q. 6.1 Have system-level authorities adopted legislation and regulations that require and support higher education communities to fulfil the following functions? Please, tick all that apply.</p> <table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <thead> <tr> <th style="width: 80%;"></th> <th style="width: 10%; text-align: center; background-color: #FFFF00;">Yes</th> <th style="width: 10%; text-align: center; background-color: #FFFF00;">No</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Pursue truth and the production, transmission, dissemination, curation, and use of knowledge as a public good</td> <td style="text-align: center;"><input type="checkbox"/></td> <td style="text-align: center;"><input type="checkbox"/></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Uphold and develop the standards of teaching, learning, and research within and across academic disciplines.</td> <td style="text-align: center;"><input type="checkbox"/></td> <td style="text-align: center;"><input type="checkbox"/></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Systematically inform broader society of its work and results</td> <td style="text-align: center;"><input type="checkbox"/></td> <td style="text-align: center;"><input type="checkbox"/></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Engage in the identification, analysis, and understanding of the problems that confront broader society and individual constituencies; participate in designing solutions</td> <td style="text-align: center;"><input type="checkbox"/></td> <td style="text-align: center;"><input type="checkbox"/></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Foster and disseminate, and be guided by a culture of democracy, solidarity, and ethics; design and pursue policies and activities in ways that are consistent with fairness, non-discrimination, and transparency.</td> <td style="text-align: center;"><input type="checkbox"/></td> <td style="text-align: center;"><input type="checkbox"/></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Equip graduates with general, specialized and ethical knowledge, understanding, support them in developing the</td> <td style="text-align: center;"><input type="checkbox"/></td> <td style="text-align: center;"><input type="checkbox"/></td> </tr> </tbody> </table>		Yes	No	Pursue truth and the production, transmission, dissemination, curation, and use of knowledge as a public good	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Uphold and develop the standards of teaching, learning, and research within and across academic disciplines.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Systematically inform broader society of its work and results	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Engage in the identification, analysis, and understanding of the problems that confront broader society and individual constituencies; participate in designing solutions	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Foster and disseminate, and be guided by a culture of democracy, solidarity, and ethics; design and pursue policies and activities in ways that are consistent with fairness, non-discrimination, and transparency.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Equip graduates with general, specialized and ethical knowledge, understanding, support them in developing the	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<p>Q6.1-2</p> <p>10 ‘Yes’ answers to Q6.1 are coded as ‘full’.</p> <p>6-9 ‘Yes’ answers are coded as ‘adequate’.</p> <p>3-5 ‘Yes’ answers are coded as ‘intermediary’.</p> <p>1-2 ‘Yes’ answers are coded as ‘inadequate’.</p> <p>If only ‘No’ answers are selected, this will be coded as ‘absent’.</p> <p>‘Yes’ answers only count if backed by evidence provided by respondents and checked by the operator.</p>
	Yes	No																				
Pursue truth and the production, transmission, dissemination, curation, and use of knowledge as a public good	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>																				
Uphold and develop the standards of teaching, learning, and research within and across academic disciplines.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>																				
Systematically inform broader society of its work and results	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>																				
Engage in the identification, analysis, and understanding of the problems that confront broader society and individual constituencies; participate in designing solutions	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>																				
Foster and disseminate, and be guided by a culture of democracy, solidarity, and ethics; design and pursue policies and activities in ways that are consistent with fairness, non-discrimination, and transparency.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>																				
Equip graduates with general, specialized and ethical knowledge, understanding, support them in developing the	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>																				

ability to act and to decide what action to take and what action to refrain from taking.			
Offer access to higher education to qualified candidates without regard to their economic, social, ethnic, or other Background and provide support in order to enable those admitted to complete their studies with success			
Contribute to addressing major challenges of modern societies, (e.g., the survival of our planet, issues of war and peace, democracy, and living together) through research, learning and teaching, societal outreach and innovation and technology transfer			
Engage in and with the public sphere, including in public debate, to ensure that our societies be developed and governed on the basis of factual knowledge as well as critical and constructive thinking			
help improve opportunities for all members of society			
<p>Q. 6.2. For all dimensions covered by existing regulations, please provide links/references to the sources.</p> <p>Q6.1 AND Q6.2 MOVED TO THE EURYDICE QUESTIONNAIRE</p>			

Protection outlook

This block of questions is for the HE stakeholders respondents.

Protection outlook -colour coding	Explanation
Positive	<p>There are plans to adopt new, better or more supportive legislation and regulations in any of the following areas listed under the responsibility of higher education in the respective EHEA statement:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> pursue truth and the production, transmission, dissemination, curation, and use of knowledge as a public good uphold and develop the standards of teaching, learning, and research within and across academic disciplines. systematically inform broader society of its work and results engage in the identification, analysis, and understanding of the problems that confront broader society and individual constituencies; participate in designing solutions foster and disseminate, and be guided by a culture of democracy, solidarity, and ethics; design and pursue policies and activities in ways that are consistent with fairness, non-discrimination, and transparency. equip graduates with general, specialized and ethical knowledge, understanding, support them in developing the ability to act and to decide what action to take and what action to refrain from taking. offer access to higher education to qualified candidates without regard to their economic, social, ethnic, or other background and provide support in order to enable those admitted to complete their studies with success contribute to addressing major challenges of modern societies, (e.g., the survival of our planet, issues of war and peace, democracy, and living together) through research, learning and teaching, societal outreach and innovation and technology transfer. engage in and with the public sphere, including in public debate, to ensure that our societies are developed and governed on the basis of factual knowledge as well as critical and constructive thinking help improve opportunities for all members of society.
Unchanged	There are no plans to change the existing legislations regarding any of these areas.
Negative	There are plans to discontinue existing supportive legislation and regulations in any area listed under the responsibility of higher education in the respective EHEA statement OR to adopt less supportive legislation.

Questions	Instructions for coding					
<p>Q.6.3. Are there <u>plans to change legislation and regulations that require and support higher education communities to fulfil the following functions</u>? Please tick all that apply.</p>	<p>If ‘Yes, to strengthen this obligation’ answers are selected to Q.6.3., they will count towards a positive protection outlook. If any such answer is not backed by evidence provided by respondents and checked by the operator, they will count as ‘No’.</p> <p>If ‘Yes, to decrease or erase this obligation’ answers are selected, they will count towards a negative protection outlook. If any such answer is not backed by evidence provided by respondents and checked by the operator, they will count as ‘No’.</p> <p>If answers are a combination of positive and negative outlook elements, both colours will be used in this box (half-half)</p>					
				Yes, to strengthen this obligation	Yes, to decrease or erase this obligation	No
pursue truth and the production, transmission, dissemination, curation, and use of knowledge as a public good						
uphold and develop the standards of teaching, learning, and research within and across academic disciplines.						
systematically inform broader society of its work and results						
engage in the identification, analysis, and understanding of the problems that confront broader society and individual constituencies; participate in designing solutions						
foster and disseminate, and be guided by a culture of democracy, solidarity, and ethics; design and pursue policies and activities in ways that are consistent with fairness, non-discrimination, and transparency.						
equip graduates with general, specialized and ethical knowledge, understanding, support them in developing the ability to act and to decide what action to take and what action to refrain from taking.						
offer access to higher education to qualified candidates without regard to their economic, social, ethnic, or other background and provide support in order to enable those admitted to complete their studies with success						
contribute to addressing major challenges of modern societies (<i>e.g.</i> , the survival of our planet, issues of war and peace, democracy, and living together) through research, learning and teaching, societal outreach and innovation and technology transfer						
engage in and with the public sphere, including in public debate, to ensure that our societies are developed and governed on the basis of factual knowledge as well as critical and constructive thinking						
help improve opportunities for all members of society						
<p>Q. 6.4. For all dimensions covered by existing regulations, please provide links/references to the sources. List all known examples.</p>						

Promotion

Questions in this block are for public authorities and higher education stakeholders respondents.

Promotion – colour coding	Explanation
Very significant	<p>System-level policy and other initiatives exist that support the fulfilment of all the obligations of higher education communities as outlined in the respective EHEA statement:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> a. pursue truth and the production, transmission, dissemination, curation, and use of knowledge as a public good b. uphold and develop the standards of teaching, learning, and research within and across academic disciplines. c. systematically inform broader society of its work and results d. engage in the identification, analysis, and understanding of the problems that confront broader society and individual constituencies; participate in designing solutions e. foster and disseminate, and be guided by a culture of democracy, solidarity, and ethics; design and pursue policies and activities in ways that are consistent with fairness, non-discrimination, and transparency. f. equip graduates with general, specialized and ethical knowledge, understanding, support them in developing the ability to act and to decide what action to take and what action to refrain from taking. g. offer access to higher education to qualified candidates without regard to their economic, social, ethnic, or other background and provide support in order to enable those admitted to complete their studies with success h. Contribute to addressing major challenges of modern societies, (e.g., the survival of our planet, issues of war and peace, democracy, and living together) through research, learning and teaching, societal outreach and innovation and technology transfer. i. engage in and with the public sphere, including in public debate, to ensure that our societies are developed and governed on the basis of factual knowledge as well as critical and constructive thinking j. help improve opportunities for all members of society.
Significant	System-level policy and other initiatives exist that support the fulfilment of any 6 to 9 out of the 10 obligations of higher education communities listed immediately above.
Intermediary	System-level policy and other initiatives exist that support the fulfilment of any 3 to 5 out of the 10 obligations of higher education communities listed immediately above.
Inadequate	System-level policy and other initiatives exist that support the fulfilment of any 1 or 2 out of the 10 obligations of higher education communities listed immediately above.
Negative	System-level policy and initiatives, non-legal guidelines and mechanisms exist that weaken the obligations defined under the public responsibility of higher education in the respective EHEA statement.

Questions	Instructions for coding																				
<p>Q. 6.5. Have system-level authorities adopted policies and other initiatives <u>that support the fulfilment of the obligations of higher education communities outlined in the EHEA definition of public responsibly of higher education?</u> Please tick all that applies.</p> <table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <thead> <tr> <th style="width: 80%;"></th> <th style="width: 10%; text-align: center;">Yes</th> <th style="width: 10%; text-align: center;">No</th> <th style="width: 10%; text-align: center;">answer</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>pursue truth and the production, transmission, dissemination, curation, and use of knowledge as a public good</td> <td style="text-align: center;"><input type="checkbox"/></td> <td style="text-align: center;"><input type="checkbox"/></td> <td style="text-align: center;"><input type="checkbox"/></td> </tr> <tr> <td>uphold and develop the standards of teaching, learning, and research within and across academic disciplines.</td> <td style="text-align: center;"><input type="checkbox"/></td> <td style="text-align: center;"><input type="checkbox"/></td> <td style="text-align: center;"><input type="checkbox"/></td> </tr> <tr> <td>systematically inform broader society of its work and results</td> <td style="text-align: center;"><input type="checkbox"/></td> <td style="text-align: center;"><input type="checkbox"/></td> <td style="text-align: center;"><input type="checkbox"/></td> </tr> <tr> <td>engage in the identification, analysis, and understanding of the problems that confront broader society and individual constituencies; participate in designing solutions</td> <td style="text-align: center;"><input type="checkbox"/></td> <td style="text-align: center;"><input type="checkbox"/></td> <td style="text-align: center;"><input type="checkbox"/></td> </tr> </tbody> </table>		Yes	No	answer	pursue truth and the production, transmission, dissemination, curation, and use of knowledge as a public good	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	uphold and develop the standards of teaching, learning, and research within and across academic disciplines.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	systematically inform broader society of its work and results	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	engage in the identification, analysis, and understanding of the problems that confront broader society and individual constituencies; participate in designing solutions	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<p>10 ‘Yes’ answers to Q.6.5 are coded as ‘full’.</p> <p>6-9 ‘Yes’ answers are coded as ‘adequate’.</p> <p>3-5 ‘Yes’ answers are coded as ‘intermediary’.</p> <p>1-2 ‘Yes’ answers are coded as ‘inadequate’.</p> <p>If only ‘No’ answers are selected, this will be coded as ‘absent’.</p>
	Yes	No	answer																		
pursue truth and the production, transmission, dissemination, curation, and use of knowledge as a public good	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>																		
uphold and develop the standards of teaching, learning, and research within and across academic disciplines.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>																		
systematically inform broader society of its work and results	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>																		
engage in the identification, analysis, and understanding of the problems that confront broader society and individual constituencies; participate in designing solutions	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>																		

foster and disseminate, and be guided by a culture of democracy, solidarity, and ethics; design and pursue policies and activities in ways that are consistent with fairness, non-discrimination, and transparency.				‘Yes’ answers only count as ‘Yes’ if backed by evidence provided by respondents and checked by the operator. If no evidence is provided or identified by the operator, they will count as ‘No’.
equip graduates with general, specialized and ethical knowledge, understanding, support them in developing the ability to act and to decide what action to take and what action to refrain from taking.				
offer access to higher education to qualified candidates without regard to their economic, social, ethnic, or other background and provide support in order to enable those admitted to complete their studies with success				
contribute to addressing major challenges of modern societies (e.g., the survival of our planet, issues of war and peace, democracy, and living together) through research, learning and teaching, societal outreach and innovation and technology transfer				
engage in and with the public sphere, including in public debate, to ensure that our societies are developed and governed on the basis of factual knowledge as well as critical and constructive thinking				
help improve opportunities for all members of society				
Q. 6.6. For all dimensions covered by existing policies and initiatives, please provide links/references to the sources				

Promotion outlook

This block of questions is filled in by the HE stakeholders.

Protection outlook -colour coding	Explanation
Positive	Documented plans exist for new policies and other initiatives to better support higher education communities in the fulfilment of their public responsibilities in any of the 10 areas (a. to j., above).
Unchanged	There are no plans to change existing policies and initiatives in any of the 10 areas in any direction (such as more supportive or less supportive new policies).
Negative	There are documented plans to adopt new policies and initiatives that will affect negatively the fulfilment of the public responsibility of higher education in any of the ten areas.

Questions	Instructions for coding																
Q.6.7. Are there <u>plans to change</u> or adopt new policies and initiatives or amend existing policies and initiatives regarding the public obligations of higher education communities as defined in the respective EHEA statement? Please tick all that apply. <table border="1" style="width: 100%; margin-top: 10px;"> <tr> <td></td> <td>Yes, to better support the fulfilment of this obligation</td> <td>Yes, affecting negatively the fulfilment of this obligation</td> <td>No</td> </tr> <tr> <td>pursue truth and the production, transmission, dissemination, curation, and use of knowledge as a public good</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>uphold and develop the standards of teaching, learning, and research within and across academic disciplines.</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>systematically inform broader society of its work and results</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> </table>		Yes, to better support the fulfilment of this obligation	Yes, affecting negatively the fulfilment of this obligation	No	pursue truth and the production, transmission, dissemination, curation, and use of knowledge as a public good				uphold and develop the standards of teaching, learning, and research within and across academic disciplines.				systematically inform broader society of its work and results				<p>If ‘Yes, to better support the fulfilment of this obligation’ answers are selected to Q.6.7., they will count towards a positive promotion outlook. If any such answer is not backed by evidence provided by respondents and checked by the operator, they will count as ‘No’.</p> <p>If ‘Yes, affecting negatively the fulfilment of this obligation’ answers are selected, they will count towards a negative promotion outlook. If any such answer is not backed by evidence provided by</p>
	Yes, to better support the fulfilment of this obligation	Yes, affecting negatively the fulfilment of this obligation	No														
pursue truth and the production, transmission, dissemination, curation, and use of knowledge as a public good																	
uphold and develop the standards of teaching, learning, and research within and across academic disciplines.																	
systematically inform broader society of its work and results																	

engage in the identification, analysis, and understanding of the problems that confront broader society and individual constituencies; participate in designing solutions				respondents and checked by the operator, they will count as 'No'. If answers are a combination of positive and negative outlook elements, both colours will be used in this box (half-half)
foster and disseminate, and be guided by a culture of democracy, solidarity, and ethics; design and pursue policies and activities in ways that are consistent with fairness, non-discrimination, and transparency.				
equip graduates with general, specialized and ethical knowledge, understanding, support them in developing the ability to act and to decide what action to take and what action to refrain from taking.				
offer access to higher education to qualified candidates without regard to their economic, social, ethnic, or other background and provide support in order to enable those admitted to complete their studies with success				
contribute to addressing major challenges of modern societies, (e.g., the survival of our planet, issues of war and peace, democracy, and living together) through research, learning and teaching, societal outreach and innovation and technology transfer				
engage in and with the public sphere, including in public debate, to ensure that our societies be developed and governed on the basis of factual knowledge as well as critical and constructive thinking				
help improve opportunities for all members of society				
Q. 6.8. For all dimensions covered by existing regulations, please provide links/references to the sources. List all known examples.				

De facto

This block of questions is for HE stakeholders and open platform respondents.

Questions	Instructions for coding
<p>Q. 6.9. Do higher education communities from your system and their members fulfil their obligations under the principle of public responsibility for higher education as detailed in the respective EHEA value?</p> <p><input type="radio"/> Yes <input type="radio"/> No</p> <p>Q.6.10. If Yes or No, please explain briefly, keeping in mind the 10 areas detailed in the statement.</p>	<p><i>De facto</i> responses are not coded, they are summarized by the operator and presented as bullet point lists of key developments, fulfilments of obligations, and threats.</p>
<p>Q.6.10 Are there any positive developments with regard to the fulfilment of the obligations of academic communities under the principle of the public responsibility of higher education as defined in the respective EHEA statement?</p> <p><input type="radio"/> Yes <input type="radio"/> No</p>	

<p>Q. 6.11. If yes, please provide a short inventory of positive developments that you find relevant. Give all known examples since 2020. [<i>or another period depending on when monitoring is undertaken</i>]</p>	
<p>Q.6.12. Are there any identifiable threats at the system level that might limit the effective exercise of the public responsibility of higher education in practice? Threats to the responsibility of higher education are any actions that may lead to but have not yet resulted in limitations to the effective exercise of these obligations.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input type="radio"/> Yes <input type="radio"/> No 	
<p>Q.6.13. If yes, provide an inventory of threats. Give all known examples since 2020. [<i>or another period depending on when monitoring is undertaken</i>]</p>	