



EHEA: Transforming
Education Together



Report on the 3rd CG GPD meeting feedback

Irina Duma, Member of the EHEA Secretariat

*4th CG GPD Meeting
Rome, Italy
17th – 18th February 2026*



Co-funded by the
European Union

3rd CG on GPD Meeting

29th – 30th September 2025, Bucharest, Romania

Hosted by the Romanian Ministry of Education and Research, with the support of the National University of Science and Technology POLITEHNICA Bucharest




Feedback form results

1.1. Communication of organisational information

How do you rate the communication of organisational information before the meeting?

16 responses



- Information was sufficiently detailed and transmitted early enough
- Information was not sufficiently detailed but transmitted early enough
- Information was sufficiently detailed but not transmitted early enough
- Information was neither sufficiently detailed nor transmitted early enough




Feedback form results

1.2. Communication of information about the agenda

How do you rate the communication of information about the agenda before the meeting?

16 responses



- Information was sufficiently detailed and transmitted early enough
- Information was not sufficiently detailed but transmitted early enough
- Information was sufficiently detailed but not transmitted early enough
- Information was neither sufficiently detailed nor transmitted early enough




Feedback form results

1.3. Support provided by the EHEA Secretariat before and during the meeting

If the case, how satisfied were you with the support provided by the EHEA Secretariat, before and during the meeting?

16 responses




Feedback form results

2. Meeting venue & facilities

2.1. Satisfaction related to the meeting venue and its facilities

How do you rate the meeting venue and its facilities?

12 responses




2. Meeting venue & facilities

2.2. Satisfaction related to the coffee breaks

How do you rate the coffee breaks offered at the meeting?

12 responses




Feedback form results

2. Meeting venue & facilities

2.3. Satisfaction related to the lunches offered at the meeting

How do you rate the lunches offered at the meeting?

12 responses




2. Meeting venue & facilities

2.4. Satisfaction related to the informal dinner organised on Sept. 29th

How do you rate the informal dinner organised on September 29th? (only for participants who attended the dinner)

7 responses




2. Meeting venue & facilities

2.5. Satisfaction related to the visit to POLITEHNICA Bucharest research centres on Sept. 30th

How do you rate the visit to the POLITEHNICA Bucharest research centres on September 30th?
(only for participants who attended the visit)

5 responses




3. Satisfaction related to CG GPD Meeting (*internal part*)

3.1. Agenda of the meeting

How satisfied were you with the agenda of the meeting?

8 responses




3. Satisfaction related to CG GPD Meeting (*internal part*)

3.2. Content of the meeting

How would you rate the session "Presentation of the GLOBAL 2030 project objectives and activities" in terms of usefulness?

8 responses




Feedback form results

4. Satisfaction related to the PLA on Recognition and Quality Assurance

4.1. Agenda of the PLA on Recognition and Quality Assurance

How satisfied were you with the agenda of the meeting?

16 responses




Feedback form results

4. Satisfaction related to the PLA on Recognition and Quality Assurance

4.2. Content of the PLA on Recognition and Quality Assurance

How would you rate the session "Presentation of the CG on GPD priorities" in terms of usefulness?

16 responses




4. Satisfaction related to the PLA on Recognition and Quality Assurance

4.2. Content of the PLA on Recognition and Quality Assurance

How would you rate the session "Enhancing dialogue on recognition at a regional and global levels" in terms of usefulness?

16 responses




Feedback form results

4. Satisfaction related to the PLA on Recognition and Quality Assurance

4.2. Content of the PLA on Recognition and Quality Assurance

How would you rate the session "Fostering synergies between regional quality assurance frameworks" in terms of usefulness?

16 responses




4. Satisfaction related to the PLA on Recognition and Quality Assurance

4.2. Content of the PLA on Recognition and Quality Assurance

How would you rate the session "Input from other BFUG working structures" in terms of usefulness?

16 responses




4. Satisfaction related to the PLA on Recognition and Quality Assurance

4.2. Content of the PLA on Recognition and Quality Assurance

How would you rate the session "Global dialogue and peer learning on Recognition" in terms of usefulness?

16 responses




4. Satisfaction related to the PLA on Recognition and Quality Assurance

4.2. Content of the PLA on Recognition and Quality Assurance

How would you rate the session "Global dialogue and peer learning on Quality Assurance" in terms of usefulness?

16 responses




Feedback form results

4. Satisfaction related to the PLA on Recognition and Quality Assurance

4.2. Content of the PLA on Recognition and Quality Assurance

How would you rate the Breakout sessions in terms of usefulness?

16 responses




4. Satisfaction related to the PLA on Recognition and Quality Assurance

4.2. Content of the PLA on Recognition and Quality Assurance

How would you rate the "Interactive session to identify main convergences between recognition and QA at the regional and global levels" in terms of usefulness?

16 responses




Feedback form results

4. Satisfaction related to the PLA on Recognition and Quality Assurance

4.2. Content of the PLA on Recognition and Quality Assurance

How would you rate the session "Global dialogue and peer learning on Digitalisation, recognition and QA" in terms of usefulness?

16 responses




Feedback form results

5. Overall experience of the meeting

How satisfied are you with the overall experience of the meeting?

16 responses



Feedback form results

General remarks

- The participants positively appreciated the usefulness of breakout sessions and the overall organisation of the meeting, as well as the efficient manner of addressing the topics from the agenda.
- It was suggested to reduce the number of emails with updates sent prior to the meeting.
- It was emphasised that it was rather difficult to follow a panel with only online participants.