

Upcoming BFUG meeting in Cyprus 9-10 March



Reporting and proposals from the BICG

- Progress of the work in the TPGs
- Proposed actions on QF-EHEA self-certification
 - Endorse the "Preparation of self-certification reports within the Bologna Process Guidelines, observations, and recommendations" prepared by the TPG A as the process for submitting the self-certification report.
 - Adjust the ToRs of the BICG and mandate TPG A to act as the platform for presenting self-certification reports and provide expertise and feedback about self-certification reports to the members and to the BFUG.
 - Advice BFUG members that they should revisit their self-certification report and decide whether an update is necessary, informing the EHEA Secretariat accordingly. In addition, the BFUG could consider whether a 10-year time limit should be set as guideline for revisiting their self-certification report.
- Discussion paper on implementation



Topics of discussion for the BFUG

- Time allocation for the BICG and implementation and key commitments discussion is limited
- Need for a discussion paper to guide the debate – limit the number of themes and questions!
- Opportunity for the BFUG to exchange views on how to improve implementation and how implementation gaps should be addressed
- State of affairs
 - BFUG has worked to improve implementation of key commitments through peer support methodology in the TPGs and supported by Erasmus+ funded projects
 - Change has been positive, but slow
 - Countries that have not fully implemented key commitments are not always active in the work of the TPGs, lack of will to make changes or it takes more time than expected.
 - Since Tirana Social dimension as a new TPG and published national action plans.



Possible topics of discussion for the BFUG

- **Peer learning and national action plans**
 - Is the current method of working fit for purpose of achieving the expected and desired results?
 - What is the role and impact of the NAPs in addressing implementation gaps together with the TPGs?
 - How could the NAPs be better utilised in the future.
 - How should the work of the TPGs contribute to the BFUG discussions, including but not limited to regarding future commitments and policy areas?



Possible topics of discussion for the BFUG

- **Future-proof development of the Bologna tools**
 - How should the BFUG examine the mandate from the Tirana Communiqué to analyse the “future-proofness” of the Bologna tools?
 - May this, for example, imply considering the revision of QF-EHEA and the Dublin Descriptors?
 - Are there potential documents that could be considered as Bologna tools in the future?
 - How should the digital interoperability of Bologna tools be supported?

